TITLE V BLOCK GRANT APPLICATION FORMS (1-21) STATE: FM

APPLICATION YEAR: 2006

- FORM 1 SF424
- FORM 2 MCH BUDGET DETAILS
- FORM 3 STATE MCH FUNDING PROFILE
- FORM 4 BUDGET DETAILS BY TYPES OF INDIVIDUALS SERVED AND SOURCES OF FEDERAL FUNDS
- FORM 5 STATE TITLE V PROGRAM BUDGET AND EXPENDITURES BY TYPES OF SERVICES
- FORM 6 NUMBER AND PERCENTAGE OF NEWBORN AND OTHERS SCREENED, CASE CONFIRMED, AND TREATED
- FORM 7 NUMBER OF INDIVIDUALS SERVED (UNDUPLICATED) UNDER TITLE V
- FORM 8 DELIVERIES AND INFANTS SERVED BY TITLE V AND ENTITLED TO BENEFITS UNDER TITLE XIX
- FORM 9 STATE MCH TOLL-FREE TELEPHONE LINE DATA
- FORM 10 TITLE V MATERNAL AND CHILD HEALTH SERVICES BLOCK GRANT STATE PROFILE FOR FY 2004
- FORM 11 NATIONAL AND STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURES
- FORM 12 NATIONAL AND STATE OUTCOME MEASURES
- FORM 13 CHARACTERISTICS DOCUMENTING FAMILY PARTICIPATION IN CHILDREN WITH SPECIAL HEALTH CARE NEEDS
- FORM 14 LIST OF MCH PRIORITY NEEDS
- FORM 15 TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE (TA) REQUEST AND TRACKING
- FORM 16 STATE PERFORMANCE/OUTCOME MEASURE DETAIL SHEETS
- FORM 17 HEALTH SYSTEM CAPACITY INDICATORS (01 THROUGH 04,07,08) MULTI-YEAR DATA
- FORM 18
 - O MEDICAID AND NON-MEDICAID COMPARISON
 - O MEDICAID ELIGIBILITY LEVEL (HSCI 06)
 - O SCHIP ELIGIBILITY LEVEL (HSCI 06)
- FORM 19
 - O GENERAL MCH DATA CAPACITY (HSCI 09A)
 - O ADOLESCENT TOBACCO USE DATA CAPACITY (HSCI 09B)
 - O OVERWEIGHT AND OBESITY DATA CAPACITY (HSCI 09C)
- FORM 20 HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS 01-05 MULTI-YEAR DATA
- FORM 21
 - O POPULATION DEMOGRAPHICS DATA (HSI 06)
 - O LIVE BIRTH DEMOGRAPHICS DATA (HSI 07)
 - O INFANT AND CHILDREN MORTALITY DATA (HSI 08)
 - O MISCELLANEOUS DEMOGRAPHICS DATA (HSI 09)
 - O GEOGRAPHIC LIVING AREA DEMOGRAPHIC DATA (HSI 10)
 - O POVERTY LEVEL DEMOGRAPHIC DATA (HSI 11)
 - O POVERTY LEVEL FOR CHILDREN DEMOGRAPHICS DATA (HSI 12)
- New State Performance and Outcome Measures for New Needs Assessment Period

APPLICATION FOR FEDERA	AL ASSISTANCE	2. DATE SUBM 7/14/2005	IITTED		APPLICANT IDENTIFIER 98B1FMMCHS		
1. TYPE OF SUBMISSION:		3. DATE RECE	IVED BY STATE		STATE APPLICATION IDENTIFIER DUNS 12-276-0762		
Application Pre	e-application				DUNS 12-2/6-0/62		
Construction	Construction	L DATE RECE	IVED BY FEDERAL A	GENCY	FEDERAL IDENTIFIER		
Non-Construction	Non-Construction						
5. APPLICANT INFORMATION							
Legal Name: The Federated States of Micronesia	a	Organizational Division of He	Unit: ealth Services, Dept. o	of HESA			
Address (give city, county, state and z P.O. Box PS 70	zip code)	application (giv	re area code)		contacted on matters involving this		
Palikir, Pohnpei, FM 96941 County: FSM		Tel Number: (6	na S. Nena, Secretary 591) 320-2619	/, Folvi Dept. v	of HESA		
6. EMPLOYER IDENTIFICATION NUI	MBER (EIN):	II	PPLICANT: (Enter app	•	,		
		A. State B. County C. Municiple D. Township	H. Independent S I. State Controlled J. Private Univers K. Indian Tribe	d Institution of	Higher Learning		
			L. Individual ple M. Profit Organiza strict N. Other (Specify)				
8. TYPE OF APPLICATION:			EDERAL AGENCY:				
New Continuation Revis	sion	Health Resou	rces and Services Ad	lministration,	, Maternal and Child Health Bureau		
If Revision, enter appropriate letter(s) A. Increase Award B. Decrease Award C. Increa Decrease Duration Other (specify):	in box(es)						
10. CATALOG OF FEDERAL DOMES NUMBER:	STIC ASSISTANCE	11. DESCRIPTIVE TITLE OF APPLICANT'S PROJECT: Improve health status of MCH Population in the FSM					
		Improve near	n status of Mich Pop	ulation in the	; FSM		
9 3 9 9 4							
TITLE: Maternal and Child Health Se							
states, etc.): 4 FSM States & Outer Is.	T (Clues, communices,						
13. PROPOSED PROJECT:		14. CONGRE	SSIONAL DISTRICTS	OF:			
Start Date: Ending 10/01/2005 09/30/20		a. Applicant FSM		b. Project MCH Block (Grant		
15. ESTIMATED FUNDING:	16. IS APPLICA	TION SUBJECT	TO REVIEW BY STA	TE EXECUTI	VE ORDER 12372 PROCESS?		
a. Federal \$ 596,0	ORDER 123		ON/APPLICATION WA OR REVIEW ON	S MADE AVA	AILABLE TO THE STATE EXECUTIVE		
b. Applicant \$	0.00 DATE: b. NO						
c. State \$578,0	063.00 PRO		COVERED BY E.O. 1				
d. Local \$	0.00 OR	PROGRAM HAS	S NOT BEEN SELECT	ED BY STAT	E FOR REVIEW		
e. Other \$	0.00						
f. Program Income	0.00						
g. TOTAL \$1,174,1	128 00	PLICANT DELING	QUENT ON ANY FEDE	ERAL DEBT			
18. TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLED		· ·		PLICATION /	ARE TRUE AND CORRECT. THE		
DOCUMENT HAS BEEN DULY AUTH ATTACHED ASSURANCES IF THE A	HORIZED BY THE GOV ASSISTANCE IS AWAR	DATA IN THIS APPLICATION/PREAPPLICATION ARE TRUE AND CORRECT. T VERNING BODY OF THE APPLICANT AND THE APPLICANT WILL COMPLY BY RDED.					
a. Typed Name of Authorized Represe Mr. Nena S. Nena	entative		b. Title Secretary, HESA		c. Telephone Number (691) 320-2619		
d. Signature of Authorized Representa	ative				e. Date Signed		

Previous Editions Not Usable

Standard Form 424 (REV. 4-88) Prescribed by OMB A-102

FORM	1 2		
MCH BUDGET DETA (Secs. 504 (d) and		Y 2006	
STATE:	: FM		
1. FEDERAL ALLOCATION (Item 15a of the Application Face Sheet [SF 424])			\$ 596,065
Of the Federal Allocation (1 above), the amount earmarked for: A.Preventive and primary care for children:			
\$ 179,137 (30.05%)			
B.Children with special health care needs:			
\$ 186,998 (31.37%)			
(If either A or B is less than 30%, a waiver request must accompany the applic C.Title V admininstrative costs:	ation)[Sec. 505	(a)(3)]	
\$ 46,725 (7.84%) (The above figure cannot be more than 10%)[Sec. 504(d)]			
(The above figure cannot be more than 10%)[Sec. 504(d)]			
2. UNOBLIGATED BALANCE (Item 15b of SF 424)			\$ 0
3. STATE MCH FUNDS (Item 15c of the SF 424)			\$ 578,063
4. LOCAL MCH FUNDS (Item 15d of SF 424)			\$ 0
5. OTHER FUNDS (Item 15e of SF 424)			\$ 0
6. PROGRAM INCOME (Item 15f of SF 424)			\$ 0
7. TOTAL STATE MATCH (Lines 3 through 6) (Below is your State's FY 1989 Maintainence of Effort Amount)			\$ 578,063
\$440,000			
8. FEDERAL-STATE TITLE V BLOCK GRANT PAI (Total lines 1 through 6. Same as line 15g of SF 424)	RTNERSH	IIP (SUBTOTAL)	\$ 1,174,128
9. OTHER FEDERAL FUNDS (Funds under the control of the person responsible for the administration of the T	itle V program)		
a. SPRANS:	\$	0	
b. SSDI:	\$	100,000	
c. CISS:	\$	0	
d. Abstinence Education:	\$	0	
e. Healthy Start:	\$	0	
f. EMSC:	\$	0	
g. WIC:	\$	0	
h. AIDS:	\$	0	
i. CDC:	\$	0	
j. Education:	\$	0	
k. Other:			
	\$		
	\$		
10. OTHER FEDERAL FUNDS (SUBTOTAL of all Funds under item	9)	_	\$ 100,000
11. STATE MCH BUDGET TOTAL (Partnership subtotal + Other Federal MCH Funds subtotal)			\$ 1,274,128

FORM NOTES FOR FORM 2
None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

STATE MCH FUNDING PROFILE

[Secs. 505(a) and 506((a)(I-3)]

		и

	FY 2	2004	FY 2	2005	FY 2	2006
	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED
1. Federal Allocation (Line1, Form 2)	\$657,618	\$559,930	\$592,399	\$0	\$596,065	\$0
2. Unobligated Balance (Line2, Form 2)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
3. State Funds (Line3, Form 2)	\$ 661,053	\$ 661,053	\$ 625,000	\$0	\$578,063	\$0
4. Local MCH Funds (Line4, Form 2)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$ <u> </u>	\$0	\$0
5. Other Funds (Line5, Form 2)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
6. Program Income (Line6, Form 2)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
7. Subtotal (Line8, Form 2)	\$1,318,671	\$1,220,983	\$1,217,399	\$0	\$1,174,128	\$0
		(THE FE	DERAL-STATE TITLE E	BLOCK GRANT PARTN	IERSHIP)	
8. Other Federal Funds (Line10, Form 2)	\$100,000	\$100,000	\$ 100,000	\$0	\$ 100,000	\$0
9. Total (Line11, Form 2)	\$1,418,671	\$1,320,983	\$1,317,399	\$0	\$1,274,128	\$0
			(STATE MCH B	UDGET TOTAL)		

STATE MCH FUNDING PROFILE

[Secs. 505(a) and 506((a)(I-3)]

STATE: FM

	FY 2	2001	FY 2	2002	FY 2003				
	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED			
1. Federal Allocation (Line1, Form 2)	\$563,211	\$ 435,075	\$573,106	\$565,941	\$576,291	\$ 564,229			
2. Unobligated Balance (Line2, Form 2)	\$	\$	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
3. State Funds (Line3, Form 2)	\$578,063	\$ 578,063	\$ 578,063	\$578,063	\$578,063	\$578,063			
4. Local MCH Funds (Line4, Form 2)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
5. Other Funds (Line5, Form 2)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
6. Program Income (Line6, Form 2)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
7. Subtotal (Line8, Form 2)	\$1,168,652	\$1,040,516	\$1,151,169	\$1,144,004	\$1,154,354	\$1,142,292			
		(THE FEI	DERAL-STATE TITLE E	BLOCK GRANT PARTN	IERSHIP)				
8. Other Federal Funds (Line10, Form 2)	\$100,000	\$ 100,000	\$ 100,000	\$ 100,000	\$100,000	\$100,000			
9. Total (Line11, Form 2)	\$1,268,652	\$1,140,516	\$1,251,169	\$1,244,004	\$1,254,354	\$1,242,292			
	(STATE MCH BUDGET TOTAL)								

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Main

Field Name: FedAllocExpended Row Name: Federal Allocation Column Name: Expended Year: 2004

The expended amount based on the funds actually received. The budgeted amount is what we requested and was not approved. The total amount that was approved for FY-04 is \$559,930 based on the Notice of Grant Award.

BUDGET DETAILS BY TYPES OF INDIVIDUALS SERVED (I) AND SOURCES OF OTHER FEDERAL FUNDS (II)

[Secs 506(2)(2)(iv)]

STATE: FM

	FY 2004					FY 2005			FY 2006			
I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership		BUDGETED		EXPENDED		OGETED	EXPEND	ED	Βυ	DGETED	EXPENDED	
a. Pregnant Women	\$	215,935	\$	210,777	\$	208,700	\$	0	\$	210,150	\$	
b. Infants < 1 year old	\$	169,925	\$	155,258	\$	150,925	\$	0	\$	175,155	\$	
c. Children 1 to 22 years old	\$	287,858	\$	260,595	\$	235,482	\$	0	\$_	270,370	\$	
d. Children with Special Healthcare Needs	\$	298,777	\$	273,763	\$	306,270	\$	0	\$	295,275	\$	
e. Others	\$	209,845	\$	205,247	\$	185,500	\$	0	\$	113,159	\$	
f. Administration	\$	136,331	\$	115,343	\$	130,522	\$	0	\$	110,019	\$	
g. SUBTOTAL	\$	1,318,671	\$	1,220,983	\$	1,217,399	\$	0	\$	1,174,128	\$	0
]											
II. Other Federal Funds (under the o	contro	ol of the person re	espor	sible for admini	strati	on of the Title V	program).					
a. SPRANS	\$	0			\$	0			\$	0		
b. SSDI	\$	100,000			\$	100,000			\$	100,000		
c. CISS	\$	0			\$	0			\$	0		
d. Abstinence Education	\$	0			\$	0			\$	0		
e. Healthy Start	\$	0			\$	0			\$	0		
f. EMSC	\$	0			\$	0			\$	0		
g. WIC	\$	0			\$	0			\$	0		
h. AIDS	\$	0			\$	0			\$	0		
i. CDC	\$	0			\$	0			\$	0		
j. Education	\$	0			\$	0			\$	0		
k.Other	j —										•	
III. SUBTOTAL	\$	100,000			\$	100,000			\$	100,000		

BUDGET DETAILS BY TYPES OF INDIVIDUALS SERVED (I) AND SOURCES OF OTHER FEDERAL FUNDS (II)

[Secs 506(2)(2)(iv)]

STATE: FM

		FY 2	2001			FY 2002				FY 2	2003	
I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership	Buc	BUDGETED		EXPENDED		BUDGETED		EXPENDED		DGETED	Exp	PENDED
a. Pregnant Women	\$	199,839	\$	177,928	\$	195,699	\$	194,399	\$	197,295	\$	196,99
b. Infants < 1 year old	\$	151,925	\$	135,267	\$	149,652	\$	147,833	\$	150,406	\$	146,27
c. Children 1 to 22 years old	\$	257,104	\$	228,914	\$	253,257	\$	252,657	\$	255,358	\$	240,25
d. Children with Special Healthcare Needs	\$	260,609	\$	232,036	\$	264,769	\$	261,485	\$	265,021	\$	260,95
e. Others	\$	177,635	\$	158,158	\$	172,675	\$	172,130	\$	173,162	\$	177,57
f. Administration	\$	121,540	\$	108,213	\$	115,117	\$	115,500	\$	113,112	\$	120,25
g. SUBTOTAL	\$	1,168,652	\$	1,040,516	\$	1,151,169	\$	1,144,004	\$	1,154,354	\$	1,142,292
]											
II. Other Federal Funds (under the	contro	l of the person re	espons	sible for admini	strati	on of the Title V	progi	ram).				
a. SPRANS	\$	0			\$	0			\$	0		
b. SSDI	\$	100,000			\$	100,000			\$	100,000		
c. CISS	\$	0			\$	0			\$	0		
d. Abstinence Education	\$	0			\$	0			\$	0		
e. Healthy Start	\$	0			\$	0			\$	0		
f. EMSC	\$	0			\$	0			\$	0		
g. WIC	\$	0			\$	0			\$	0		
h. AIDS	\$	0			\$	0			\$	0		
i. CDC	\$	0			\$	0			\$	0		
j. Education	\$	0			\$	0			\$	0		
<u> </u>												
k.Other	╛											

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership Field Name: AdminExpended Row Name: Administration Column Name: Expended Year: 2004

Field Nate:

- Column Name: Expended Year: 2004

- Column Name: Expended Year: 2004

Field Note:
The defferences under the expended column due to a new management.

STATE TITLE V PROGRAM BUDGET AND EXPENDITURES BY TYPES OF SERVICES

[Secs. 505(a)(2)(A-B) and 506(a)(1)(A-D)]

STATE: FM

TYPE OF SERVICE	FY 2	2004	FY 2	2005	FY 2006		
TYPE OF SERVICE	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	
I. Direct Health Care Services (Basic Health Services and Health Services for CSHCN.)	\$547,496	\$	\$ 490,800	\$0	\$ 495,952	\$0	
II. Enabling Services (Transportation, Translation, Outreach, Respite Care, Health Education, Family Support Services, Purchase of Health Insurance, Case Management, and Coordination with Medicaid, WIC, and Education.)	\$269,675	\$\$250,525	\$	\$0	\$	\$0	
III. Population-Based Services (Newborn Screening, Lead Screening, Immunization, Sudden Infant Death Syndrome Counseling, Oral Health, Injury Prevention, Nutrition, and Outreach/Public Education.)	\$ 355,365	\$333,750	\$	\$0	\$ 323,555	\$0	
IV. Infrastructure Building Services (Needs Assessment, Evaluation, Planning, Policy Development, Coordination, Quality Assurance, Standards Development, Monitoring, Training, Applied Research, Systems of Care, and Information Systems.)	\$146,135	\$139,276	\$120,580	\$0	\$149,046	\$0	
V. Federal-State Title V Block Grant Partnership Total (Federal-State Partnership only. Item 15g of SF 42r. For the "Budget" columns this is the same figure that appears in Line 8, Form 2, and in the "Budgeted" columns of Line 7 Form 3. For the "Expended" columns this is the same figure that appears in the "Expended" columns of Line 7, Form 3.)	\$ <u>1,318,671</u>	\$1,220,983	\$1,217,399	\$0	\$1,174,128	\$0	

STATE TITLE V PROGRAM BUDGET AND EXPENDITURES BY TYPES OF SERVICES

[Secs. 505(a)(2)(A-B) and 506(a)(1)(A-D)]

STATE: FM

TYPE OF SERVICE	FY 2	2001	FY :	2002	FY 2003		
TIPE OF SERVICE	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	
I. Direct Health Care Services (Basic Health Services and Health Services for CSHCN.)	\$ 502,070	\$390,506	\$ 495,003	\$ 492,695	\$ 497,003	\$475,950	
II. Enabling Services (Transportation, Translation, Outreach, Respite Care, Health Education, Family Support Services, Purchase of Health Insurance, Case Management, and Coordination with Medicaid, WIC, and Education.)	\$211,216	\$210,316	\$\$	\$ 206,545	\$	\$198,510	
III. Population-Based Services (Newborn Screening, Lead Screening, Immunization, Sudden Infant Death Syndrome Counseling, Oral Health, Injury Prevention, Nutrition, and Outreach/Public Education.)	\$333,934	\$318,829	\$333,839	\$330,899	\$334,839	\$ 357,557	
IV. Infrastructure Building Services (Needs Assessment, Evaluation, Planning, Policy Development, Coordination, Quality Assurance, Standards Development, Monitoring, Training, Applied Research, Systems of Care, and Information Systems.)	\$121,432	\$120,865	\$115,117	\$113,865	\$115,302	\$110,275	
V. Federal-State Title V Block Grant Partnership Total (Federal-State Partnership only. Item 15g of SF 42r. For the "Budget" columns this is the same figure that appears in Line 8, Form 2, and in the "Budgeted" columns of Line 7 Form 3. For the "Expended" columns this is the same figure that appears in the "Expended" columns of Line 7, Form 3.)	\$1,168,652	\$1,040,516	\$ <u>1,151,169</u>	\$1,144,004	\$ <u>1,154,354</u>	\$1,142,292	

FORM NOTES FOR FORM 5
None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

FORM 6												
NUMBER AND PE	RCENTAGE OF	NEWBORNS AN	ND OTHERS SC	REENED, CA	SES CONFIRMED,	AND TREATED						
		5	Sect. 506(a)(2)(B)(iii)									
STATE: FM												
Total Births by Occurrence: 2,415 Reporting Year: 2004												
Type of Screening Tests			(B) No. of Presumptive Positive	(C) No. Confirmed	Needing Tre	D) eatment that reatment(3)						
	No.	%	Screens	Cases (2)	No.	%						
Phenylketonuria					_							
Congenital Hypothyroidism												
Galactosemia												
Sickle Cell Disease												
Other Screening	(Specify)											
Screening Progra	ms for Older C	hildren & Wome	n (Specify Tests	by name)								
(1) Use occurrent I (2) Report only tho (3) Use number of	se from residen	t births.										

These tests are still not routinely provided at the hospitals in the FSM. The numbers here are only "dummy" variables.

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Main

Field Name: Phenylketonuria_OneScreenNo

Row Name: Phenylketonuria

Column Name: Receiving at least one screen

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Not applicable to FSM due to lack of facility capacity to do the test

2. Section Number: Main

Field Name: Congenital_OneScreenNo

Row Name: Congenital

Column Name: Receiving at least one screen

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Not applicable to FSM due to lack of facility capacity to do the test. The numbers here are only "dummy" variables.

3. Section Number: Main

Field Name: Galactosemia_OneScreenNo

Row Name: Galactosemia

Column Name: Receiving at least one screen

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Not applicable to FSM due to lack of facility capacity to do the test. The numbers here are only "dummy" variables.

4. Section Number: Main

Field Name: SickleCellDisease_OneScreenNo Row Name: SickleCellDisease

Column Name: Receiving at least one screen

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Not applicable to FSM due to lack of facility capacity to do the test. The numbers here are only "dummy" variables.

5. Section Number: Main

Field Name: Phenylketonuria_Presumptive Row Name: Phenylketonuria Column Name: Presumptive positive screens

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Not Applicable in the FSM

6. Section Number: Main

Field Name: Congenital_Presumptive

Row Name: Congenital

Column Name: Presumptive positive screens

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Not applicable to FSM due to lack of facility capacity to do the test. The numbers here are only "dummy" variables.

7. Section Number: Main

Field Name: Galactosemia_Presumptive

Row Name: Galactosemia

Column Name: Presumptive positive screens

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Not applicable to FSM due to lack of facility capacity to do the test. The numbers here are only "dummy" variables.

8. Section Number: Main

Field Name: SickleCellDisease_Presumptive

Row Name: SickleCellDisease

Column Name: Presumptive positive screens

Year: 2006

Field Note:

Not applicable to FSM due to lack of facility capacity to do the test. The numbers here are only "dummy" variables.

. Section Number: Main

Field Name: Phenylketonuria_Confirmed

Row Name: Phenylketonuria Column Name: Confirmed Cases

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Not Applicable in the FSM

10. Section Number: Main

Field Name: Congenital_Confirmed

Row Name: Congenital

Column Name: Confirmed Cases

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Not applicable to FSM due to lack of facility capacity to do the test. The numbers here are only "dummy" variables.

11. Section Number: Main

Field Name: Galactosemia_Confirmed Row Name: Galactosemia Column Name: Confirmed Cases Year: 2006

Field Note:

Not applicable to FSM due to lack of facility capacity to do the test. The numbers here are only "dummy" variables.

12. Section Number: Main

Field Name: SickleCellDisease_Confirmed

Row Name: SickleCellDisease

Column Name: Confirmed Cases

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Not Applicable in the FSM

13. Section Number: Main

Field Name: Phenylketonuria_TreatmentNo

Row Name: Phenylketonuria

Column Name: Needing treatment that received treatment

Field Note:

Not applicable to FSM due to lack of facility capacity to do the test. The numbers here are only "dummy" variables.

14. Section Number: Main

Field Name: Congenital_TreatmentNo

Row Name: Congenital

Column Name: Needing treatment that received treatment

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Not applicable to FSM due to lack of facility capacity to do the test. The numbers here are only "dummy" variables.

15. Section Number: Main

Field Name: Galactosemia_TreatmentNo Row Name: Galactosemia

Column Name: Needing treatment that received treatment

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Not applicable to FSM due to lack of facility capacity to do the test. The numbers here are only "dummy" variables.

16. Section Number: Main

Field Name: SickleCellDisease_TreatmentNo

Row Name: SickleCellDisease

Column Name: Needing treatment that received treatment

Year: 2006

Not applicable to FSM due to lack of facility capacity to do the test. The numbers here are only "dummy" variables.

Number of Individuals Served (Unduplicated) under Title V (BY Class of Individuals and Percent of Health Coverage)

[Sec. 506(a)(2)(A)(i-ii)]

STATE: FM

Reporting Year: 2004

	TITLE V		PRIMAR	Y SOURCES OF COV	ERAGE	
Types of Individuals Served	(A) Total Served	(B) Title XIX %	(C) Title XXI %	(D) Private/Other %	(E) None %	(F) Unknown %
Pregnant Women	2,244				100.0	
Infants < 1 year old	2,415				100.0	
Children 1 to 22 years old	55,469				100.0	
Children with Special Healthcare Needs	962				100.0	
Others	1				100.0	
TOTAL	61,091					

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Main
Field Name: PregWomen_XIX
Row Name: Pregnant Women
Column Name: Title XIX %
Year: 2006
Field Note:
Note applicable to FSM

Not applicable to FSM

Section Number: Main Field Name: PregWomen_XXI Row Name: Pregnant Women Column Name: Title XXI % Year: 2006 Field Note: Not applicable to FSM.

FORM 8 DELIVERIES AND INFANTS SERVED BY TITLE V AND ENTITLED TO BENEFITS UNDER TITLE

XIX
(BY RACE AND ETHNICITY)
[Sec. 506(A)(2)(C-D)]
STATE: FM

Reporting Year: 2004

I. UNDUPLICATED COUNT BY RACE

	(A) Total All Races	(B) White	(C) Black or African American	(D) American Indian or Native Alaskan	(E) Asian	(F) Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	(G) More than one race reported	(H) Other and Unknown
DELIVERIES								
Total Deliveries in State	2,415					2,415		
Title V Served	2,415					2,415		
Eligible for Title XIX	0							
INFANTS								
Total Infants in State	2,415					2,415		
Title V Served	2,415					2,415		
Eligible for Title XIX	0							

II. UNDUPLICATED COUNT BY ETHNICITY

				HISP/	ANIC OR LATING	<u>O</u> (Sub-categorie	s by country or area o	f origin)
	(A) Total NOT Hispanic or Latino	(B) Total Hispanic or Latino	(C) Ethnicity Not Reported	(B.1) Mexican	(B.2) Cuban	(B.3) Puerto Rican	(B.4) Central and South American	(B.5) Other and Unknown
DELIVERIES								
Total Deliveries in State	2,415							
Title V Served	2,415							
Eligible for Title XIX								
INFANTS								
Total Infants in State	2,415							
Title V Served	2,415							
Eligible for Title XIX								

FSM is not eligible for Title XIX.

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

Section Number: I. Unduplicated Count By Race

Field Name: DeliveriesTotal_All Row Name: Total Deliveries in State Column Name: Total All Races

Field Note:

There are no Hispanics or Latinos in the FSM.

Section Number: I. Unduplicated Count By Race

Field Name: DeliveriesTitleXIX_All Row Name: Eligible for Title XIX Column Name: Total All Races

Year: 2006 Field Note:

FSM is not eligible for Title XIX.

Section Number: I. Unduplicated Count By Race **Field Name:** DeliveriesTitleXIX_Hawaiian

Row Name: Eligible for Title XIX

Column Name: Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Not applicable to FSM.

Section Number: I. Unduplicated Count By Race

Field Name: InfantsTitleXIX_All Row Name: Eligible for Title XIX Column Name: Total All Races

Year: 2006 Field Note: FSM is not eligible.

Section Number: I. Unduplicated Count By Race

Field Name: InfantsTitleXIX_Hawaiian Row Name: Eligible for Title XIX

Column Name: Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Not applicable to FSM.

Section Number: II. Unduplicated Count by Ethnicity Field Name: DeliveriesTotal_TotalNotHispanic

Row Name: Total Deliveries in State Column Name: Total Not Hispanic or Latino

Year: 2006 Field Note:

At this time, there are no Hispanics and Lationos in the FSM.

Section Number: II. Unduplicated Count by Ethnicity Field Name: DeliveriesTitleV_TotalNotHispanic

Row Name: Title V Served

Column Name: Total Not Hispanic or Latino

Year: 2006 Field Note:

At this time, there are no Hispanics and Lationos in the FSM.

Section Number: II. Unduplicated Count by Ethnicity Field Name: DeliveriesTitleXIX_TotalNotHispanic

Row Name: Eligible for Title XIX Column Name: Total Not Hispanic or Latino

Year: 2006 Field Note:

FSM is not eligible.

Section Number: II. Unduplicated Count by Ethnicity

Field Name: InfantsTotal_TotalNotHispanic
Row Name: Total Infants in State

Column Name: Total Not Hispanic or Latino

Year: 2006 Field Note:

At this time, there are no Hispanics and Lationos in the FSM.

10. Section Number: II. Unduplicated Count by Ethnicity **Field Name:** InfantsTitleV_TotalNotHispanic

Row Name: Title V Served

Column Name: Total Not Hispanic or Latino

Year: 2006 Field Note:

At this time, there are no Hispanics and Lationos in the FSM.

Section Number: II. Unduplicated Count by Ethnicity

Field Name: InfantsTitleXIX_TotalNotHispanic

Row Name: Eligible for Title XIX

Column Name: Total Not Hispanic or Latino

Year: 2006 Field Note:

FSM is not eligible for Title XIX.

FORM 9 STATE MCH TOLL-FREE TELEPHONE LINE DATA FORM [Secs. 505(A)(E) AND 509(A)(B)] STATE: FM

	FY 2006	FY 2005	FY 2004	FY 2003	FY 2002
State MCH Toll-Free "Hotline" Telephone Number Telephone		0,000,000,000	0,000,000,000		
2. State MCH Toll-Free "Hotline" Name		No Name	No Name		
3. Name of Contact Person for State MCH "Hotline"	Mr. Dionis Saimon	Mr. Marcus Samo, MPH	Mr. Marcus Samo, MPH		
Contact Person's Telephone Number	691-320-2619	691-320-2619	691-320-2619		
5. Number of calls received on the State MCH "Hotline" this	0		0	0	

FORM 9 STATE MCH TOLL-FREE TELEPHONE LINE DATA FORM (OPTIONAL) [Secs. 505(A)(E) AND 509(A)(B)] STATE: FM

reporting period

	FY 2006	FY 2005	FY 2004	FY 2003	FY 2002
State MCH Toll-Free "Hotline" Telephone Number					
2. State MCH Toll-Free "Hotline" Name					
3. Name of Contact Person for State MCH "Hotline"					
Contact Person's Telephone Number					
5. Number of calls received on the State MCH "Hotline" this reporting period	0	0	0	0	0

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Main Field Name: hnumber_2

Row Name: State MCH toll-free hotline telephone number

Column Name: FY Year: 2004 Field Note: Not applicable

Section Number: Main Field Name: hnumber_2

Row Name: State MCH toll-free hotline telephone number

Column Name: FY Year: 2006 Field Note: Not available.

Section Number: Main

Field Name: hname_2
Row Name: State MCH toll-free hotline name

Column Name: FY Year: 2004 Field Note: Not applicable

Section Number: Main Field Name: hname_2

Row Name: State MCH toll-free hotline name

Column Name: FY Year: 2006 Field Note: Not available.

Section Number: Main Field Name: cname_2

Row Name: Name of contact person for state MCH hotline

Column Name: FY Year: 2004 Field Note:

There is no hotline. This person is the coordinator of the program at the FSM National Government.

Section Number: Main

Field Name: cnumber_2
Row Name: Contact Person's telephone number

Column Name: FY Year: 2004 Field Note:

This is the contact number for the Program Coordinator. Each of the FSM State MCH Program Coordinators have their own contact numbers as well.

Section Number: Main Field Name: calls_2

Row Name: Number of calls received On the State MCH Hotline This reporting period

Column Name: FY Year: 2004 Field Note: Not available.

FORM 10 TITLE V MATERNAL & CHILD HEALTH SERVICES BLOCK GRANT STATE PROFILE FOR FY 2006 [Sec. 506(A)(1)] STATE: FM

	OTATE. TIM
1. State MCH Administration: (max 2500 characters)	
Block Grant Funds	
2. Federal Allocation (Line 1, Form 2)	\$596,065
3. Unobligated balance (Line 2, Form 2)	\$0
1. State Funds (Line 3, Form 2)	\$ 578,063
i. Local MCH Funds (Line 4, Form 2)	\$0
6. Other Funds (Line 5, Form 2)	\$0
7. Program Income (Line 6, Form 2)	\$0
3. Total Federal-State Partnership (Line 8, Form 2)	\$1,174,128
Most significant providers receiving MCH funds:	
0. Individuals served by the Title V Program (Col. A, Form 7)	
a. Pregnant Women	2,244
b. Infants < 1 year old	2,415
c. Children 1 to 22 years old	55,469
d. CSHCN	962
e. Others	1
11. Statewide Initiatives and Partnerships:	
a. Direct Medical Care and Enabling Services: max 2500 characters)	
o. Population-Based Services: /max 2500 characters)	
c. Infrastructure Building Services: /max 2500 characters)	
12. The primary Title V Program contact person:	13. The children with special health care needs (CSHCN) contact person:
Name Mr. Dionis E. Saimon	Name Same
Title FSM MCH Coordinator	Title Same
Address P.O. Box PS 70	Address Same
City Palikir, Pohnpei	City Same
State FM	State Same
Zip 96941	Zip 96941
Phone (691) 320-2619	Phone (691) 320-2619
Fax (691) 320-5263	Fax (691) 320-5263
Email fsmmch@mail.fm	Email fsmmch@mail.fm

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

FORM 11 TRACKING PERFORMANCE MEASURES [SECS 485 (2)(2)(B)(III) AND 486 (A)(2)(A)(III)] STATE: FM

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 01

The percent of newborns who are screened and confirmed with condition(s) mandated by their State-sponsored newborn screening programs (e.g. phenylketonuria and hemoglobinopathies) who receive appropriate follow up as defined by their State.

		Annual (Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective	0	0	0	0	0
Annual Indicator	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	NaN
Numerator	1	1	1	1	0
Denominator	1	1	1	1	0
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional
		Annual (Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	0	0	0	5	0
Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only th		above years. Numera	or, Denominator and	Annual Indicators are

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 02

The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 years whose families partner in decision making at all levels and are satisfied with the services they receive. (CSHCN survey)

		Annual C	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective				70	80
Annual Indicator	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	62.0
Numerator	1	1	150	0	173
Denominator	1	1	150	807	279
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional

		Annual (Objective and Perform	rmance Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	20	22	25	28	29

Denominator

Denominator

Numerator Please fill in only the Objectives for the above years. Numerator, Denominator and Annual Indicators are not required for future year data.

ne percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh	o receive coo	Jianiato	, ,	٠, ١				/ /	
	2000		2001	Annual C	Objective and Pe 2002	formance D 2003	<u>ata</u>	2004	
Annual Performance Objective			2001		2002	2003	70	2004	7
Annual Indicator				100.0	100	0	0.0		57.
						1	0.0		57.
				1		<u>'</u>	807		10
Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?						Final	007	Provision	
is the Data Frontistian of Financial						i iiidi		1 10 113.3.1	ıaı
				Annual C	Objective and Pe	formance D	<u>ata</u>		
	2005		2006		2007	2008		2009	
Annual Performance Objective	·	60		70		80	80		g
Denominato	Please fill in not required		e Objectiv re year da	es for the a	above years. Num	erator, Deno	minator and	Annual Indi	licators
Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 e percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh	Please fill in not required	n only the	ire year da	ata.					
Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 e percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh	Please fill in not required	n only the	ire year da	ata. rivate and/o		to pay for th	e services th		
Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 experient of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 where the control of the contr	Please fill in not required	n only the	ire year da	ata. rivate and/o	r public insurance	to pay for th	e services th		
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 experience of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 where the company of t	Please fill in not required nose families it	n only the	lequate pr	ata. rivate and/o	r public insurance	to pay for the	e services th	hey need. (C	CSHCI
Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wherey)	Please fill in not required nose families it	n only the	lequate pr	ata. rivate and/o	r public insurance	to pay for the	e services th	hey need. (C	CSHC
Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 r percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 where the company of the comp	Please fill in not required nose families it	n only the d for futu have ad	lequate pr	rivate and/o Annual C	r public insurance Dijective and Pe 2002	to pay for the	e services thata	hey need. (C	CSHC
Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 where the specia	Please fill in not required nose families if	have add	lequate pr	rivate and/o Annual C	r public insurance Dijective and Pe 2002	to pay for the	e services th ata 50 11.2	hey need. (C	CSHCI
Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 where the specia	Please fill in not required nose families h	n only the drong that drong the dron	lequate pr	ata. rivate and/o Annual C 100.0	r public insurance Dijective and Pe 2002	to pay for the formance D 2003	e services the ata 50 11.2 90 807	hey need. (C	20 5
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 repercent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 where years Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in not required nose families h	n only the drong that drong the dron	lequate pr	ata. rivate and/or Annual C 100.0 1	r public insurance Dijective and Pe 2002	to pay for the formance D 2003	e services that ata 50 11.2 90 807 onal	2004	20 5
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 e percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wherey) Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in not required nose families h	n only the drong that drong the dron	lequate pr	ata. rivate and/or Annual C 100.0 1	Dbjective and Pe 2002 100 Dbjective and Pe 2007	to pay for the formance D 2003	e services that ata 50 11.2 90 807 onal	2004	1 20 5 27

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 05 Percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 whose	families report the c		and a custome are organized	animad as they can us	a thom agaily (CS
ercent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 whose urvey)	ramilies report the c	OMINUNILY-Daseu si	ervice systems are orga	anizeu so iney can us	e them easily. (Oc
		<u>Annua</u>	l Objective and Perfo	rmance Data	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective				50	
Annual Indicator	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	14
Numerator	1		1 1	1	
Denominator	1		<u> </u>	1	2
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Provisional	Provisional
		<u>Annua</u>	l Objective and Perfo	rmance Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	30	35	40	45	-
Annual Indicator	Di-poo fill in only th	Obi		. 5	
Numerator			e above years. Numera	ator, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator	not required for futi		e above years. Numera	ator, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
	not required for futi		e above years. Numera	ator, Denominator and	d Annual Indicators
Denominator	not required for futi		e above years. Numera	ator, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06	not required for fut	ure year data.	· 		
Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06	not required for future the services necess	ure year data. sary to make transi	ion to all aspects of ad	ult life. (CSHCN Surv	ey)
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received	not required for future the services necess	ure year data. sary to make transii Annua 2001	ion to all aspects of ad	lult life. (CSHCN Surv ormance Data 2003	ey) 2004
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 the percentage of youth with special health care needs who received Annual Performance Objective	not required for future the services necess	ure year data. sary to make transi Annua 2001	ion to all aspects of ad	ult life. (CSHCN Surv	ey)
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 the percentage of youth with special health care needs who received Annual Performance Objective	not required for future the services necess	ure year data. sary to make transi Annua 2001	ion to all aspects of ad I Objective and Perfo 2002	lult life. (CSHCN Surv ormance Data 2003	ey) 2004
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received Annual Performance Objective	not required for future the services necess	ure year data. sary to make transi Annua 2001	ion to all aspects of ad I Objective and Perfo 2002	rmance Data 2003	ey) 2004
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received Annual Performance Objective	the services necess 2000 100.0	sary to make transi Annua 2001	ion to all aspects of ad I Objective and Perfo 2002	rmance Data 2003	ey) 2004
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	the services necess 2000 100.0 1	sary to make transi Annua 2001	ion to all aspects of ad I Objective and Perfo 2002 1 100.0	rmance Data 2003	ey) 2004 17
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 he percentage of youth with special health care needs who received Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	the services necess 2000 100.0 1	sary to make transi Annua 2001	ion to all aspects of ad I Objective and Perfo 2002 1 100.0	ult life. (CSHCN Surviormance Data 2003 40 100.0	ey) 2004 17
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	the services necess 2000 100.0 1	sary to make transit Annua 2001	ion to all aspects of ad I Objective and Perfo 2002 1 100.0	rmance Data 2003 40 100.0 1 Provisional	ey) 2004 17
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	the services necess 2000 100.0 1	sary to make transit Annua 2001 100.0 Annua 2006	ion to all aspects of ad I Objective and Perfo 2002 100.0 1 1 1 1 I Objective and Perfo 2007	rmance Data 2003 40 100.0 1 Provisional	ey) 2004 17 2009
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	the services necess 2000 100.0 1 1	sary to make transit Annua 2001 100.6	ion to all aspects of ad I Objective and Perfo 2002 100.0 1 1 1 1 I Objective and Perfo 2007	rmance Data 2003 40 100.0 1 Provisional	ey) 2004 17 2 Provisional
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	the services necess 2000 100.0 1 1 2005	Annua 2001 Annua 2006 Annua 2006	ion to all aspects of ad I Objective and Perfo 2002 1 100.0 1 1 1 1 I Objective and Perfo 2007	rmance Data 2003 40 100.0 1 Provisional rmance Data 2008	ey) 2004 17 2 Provisional 2009
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	100.0 2005 200 2005	Annua 2001 Annua 2006 Annua 2006	ion to all aspects of ad I Objective and Perfo 2002 100.0 1 1 1 1 I Objective and Perfo 2007	rmance Data 2003 40 100.0 1 Provisional rmance Data 2008	ey) 2004 17 2 Provisional 2009

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 07				. M B. I	" B.E. I	51 1 A		
ercent of 19 to 35 month olds who have received full schedule of age aemophilus Influenza, and Hepatitis B.	e appropriate immu	nizations aç	gainst ivieas	sles, Mumps, Kub	ella, Polio, i	Dipntneria, i	etanus, re	rtussis,
			Annual C	bjective and Per	formance l	<u>Data</u>		
	2000	2001		2002	2003		2004	
Annual Performance Objective	70		73	7	5	77		80
Annual Indicator	58.4		62.3	71.	8	93.2		42.
Numerator	1,716		1,885	2,16	5	2,705		2,47
Denominator	2,938		3,028	3,01	5	2,902		5,82
Is the Data Provisional or Final?					Provis	ional	Provisio	nal
			Annual C	bjective and Per		<u>Data</u>		
	2005	2006		2007	2008		2009	
Annual Performance Objective			60	7		80		g
Annual Indicator	DI	01 :- 1:						
Numerator				bove years. Nume	erator, Dend	ominator and	Annual Inc	dicators
Denominator	not required for futu			bove years. Nume	erator, Deno	ominator and	Annual Inc	dicators
Denominator ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08	not required for futu			bove years. Nume	erator, Dend	ominator and	Annual Ind	dicators
Denominator ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08	not required for futu		ata.				Annual Ind	dicators
Denominator ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08	not required for futu		ata.	Objective and Per			2004	dicators
Denominator ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08	not required for future	ure year da	ata.	Objective and Per 2002	formance l			
Denominator ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 ne rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years.	not required for future 2000 22	ure year da	Annual C	Objective and Per 2002	formance 2003	Oata .		1
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 the rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	not required for future 2000 22	ure year da	Annual C	Objective and Per 2002	formance 2003 0	Data 20		1 30.
Denominator ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 he rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000 22 26.2 107	ure year da	Annual C 22 27.3	2002 26.	formance 2003 0 8	Data 20 19.7		1 30.
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 ne rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	2000 22 26.2 107 4,086	ure year da	Annual C 22 27.3 106	2002 26.	formance 2003 0 8	20 19.7 101 5,119		1 30. 11 3,81
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 ne rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 22 26.2 107 4,086	ure year da	Annual C 22 27.3 106	2002 26.	formance 2003 0 8 4 1	20 19.7 101 5,119	2004	1 30. 11 3,81
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 ne rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 22 26.2 107 4,086	2001	Annual C 22 27.3 106 3,889	2002 26. 10 3,88	formance 2003 0 8 4	20 19.7 101 5,119 ional	2004 Provisio	1 30. 11 3,81
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 the rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 22 26.2 107 4,086	ure year da	22 27.3 106 3,889	2002 26. 10 3,88 20bjective and Per 2007	formance 2008	20 19.7 101 5,119 ional	2004	1 30. 11 3,81
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 ne rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 22 26.2 107 4,086 2005 40	2001	Annual C 22 27.3 106 3,889	2002 26. 10 3,88 20bjective and Per 2007	formance 2003 0 8 4	20 19.7 101 5,119 ional	2004 Provisio	30 11 3,81
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 The rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000 22 26.2 107 4,086 2005 40	2001 2006	Annual C 22 27.3 106 3,889 Annual C	2002 2002 26. 10 3,88 20bjective and Per 2007	formance 2003 2003 2008 2008 5	20 19.7 101 5,119 ional	2004 Provisio 2009	30 1: 3,8: nal

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 09								
ercent of third grade children who have received protective sealants	on at least one per	manent mo						
	2222	2204	Annual C	Objective and Perfo			2224	
Annual Performance Objective	2000	2001	30	2002 32	2003	34	2004	3
			42.7	44.4	-	54.7		59.
Annual Indicator			i					
	1,851		1,471	1,431		1,703	-	1,81
	3,337		3,442	3,221	Descriptions	3,112	Description	3,03
Is the Data Provisional or Final?					Provisiona	I	Provisio	naı
			Annual C	Objective and Perfo	rmance Data			
	2005	2006	7111146. 3	2007	2008		2009	
Annual Performance Objective	65		70	75		80		8
Annual Indicator	Diagon fill in only th	he Ohiectiv	ves for the a	shove vears. Numera	tor Denomina	ator and	Annual Inc	licators
Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for fut	he Objectiv	ves for the a	above years. Numera	tor, Denomin	ator and	Annual Inc	licators
	Please fill in only the not required for fut	he Objectiv ture year d	ves for the a lata.	above years. Numera	itor, Denomina	ator and	Annual Inc	licators
Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut	ture year d	ves for the a	above years. Numera	ator, Denomina	ator and	Annual Inc	licators
Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut	he Objectiv ture year d	ves for the a	above years. Numera	itor, Denomina	ator and	Annual Inc	licators
Numerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10	Please fill in only the not required for fut	ture year d	ata.	· 	itor, Denomin	ator and	Annual Inc	licators
Numerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10	Please fill in only the not required for fut motor vehicle crash	ture year d	o,000 childre	en. Objective and Perfo	rmance Data			licators
Numerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 The rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by recommendation.	Please fill in only the not required for fut motor vehicle crash	nes per 100	o,000 childre	en. Dbjective and Perfo 2002			Annual Inc	
Numerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 The rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by r Annual Performance Objective	Please fill in only the not required for fut motor vehicle crash	nes per 100	0,000 childre Annual C	en. Dbjective and Perfo 2002 6.5	rmance Data	6.5		6.
Numerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 The rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by r Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for fut motor vehicle crash 2000 6.5 4.3	nes per 100	0,000 childre Annual C 6.5 4.6	en. Dbjective and Perfo 2002 6.5 2.3	rmance Data	6.5		6.
Numerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 The rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by r Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for fut motor vehicle crash 2000 6.5 4.3	nes per 100	0,000 childre Annual C 6.5 4.6 2	en. Dbjective and Perfo 2002 6.5	rmance Data	6.5		licators 6
Numerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 The rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by r Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for fut motor vehicle crash 2000 6.5 4.3	nes per 100	0,000 childre Annual C 6.5 4.6 2	en. Dbjective and Perfo 2002 6.5 2.3	rmance Data 2003	6.5		6.
Numerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 The rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by r Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for fut motor vehicle crash 2000 6.5 4.3 2 46,089	nes per 100	0,000 childre Annual C 6.5 4.6 2	en. Display and Perfo 2002 6.5 2.3	rmance Data 2003	6.5 6.9 3 43,172		66643,69
Numerator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 The rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by r Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut motor vehicle crash 2000 6.5 4.3 2 46,089	nes per 100	0,000 childre Annual C 6.5 4.6 2 43,172	en. 2002 6.5 2.3 1 43,172	rmance Data 2003 Provisiona	6.5 6.9 3 43,172	2004	6666
Numerator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 The rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by r Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut motor vehicle crash 2000 6.5 4.3 2 46,089	nes per 100	0,000 childre Annual C 6.5 4.6 2 43,172	en. Dispective and Perfo 2002 6.5 2.3 1 43,172 Dispective and Perfo	rmance Data 2003 Provisiona	6.5 6.9 3 43,172	2004 Provision	66643,69
Numerator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 The rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by r Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	Please fill in only the not required for fut motor vehicle crash 2000 6.5 4.3 2 46,089	2001 2006	0,000 childre Annual C 6.5 4.6 2 43,172	en. Display and Performance 2002 6.5 2.3 1 43,172 Display and Performance 2007	rmance Data 2003 Provisiona	6.5 6.9 3 43,172	2004	6666
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 The rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by respective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	Please fill in only the not required for fut motor vehicle crash 2000 6.5 4.3 2 46,089 2005 7.5	2001 2006	0,000 childre Annual C 6.5 4.6 2 43,172 Annual C	en. Dispective and Perfo 2002 6.5 2.3 1 43,172 Dispective and Perfo 2007 8.5	Provisiona 2008	6.5 6.9 3 43,172	2004 Provision 2009	6 6 43,69 nal
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 The rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by r Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for fut motor vehicle crash 2000 6.5 4.3 2 46,089 2005 7.5	2006	0,000 childre Annual C 6.5 4.6 2 43,172 Annual C 7.5	en. Display and Performance 2002 6.5 2.3 1 43,172 Display and Performance 2007	Provisiona 2008	6.5 6.9 3 43,172	2004 Provision 2009	6 6 43,69 anal

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 11					
Percentage of mothers who breastfeed their infants at hospital dischar	rge.				
			Objective and Perfor		
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective		99	99	99	9
Annual Indicator	100.0	99.3	97.1	85.6	60.
Numerator	2,422	2,460	2,441	2,145	1,43
Denominator	2,423	2,478	2,515	2,506	2,36
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Provisional	Provisional
	2005		Objective and Perfor		2200
Amusal Berfermanae Ohiostiva	2005	2006 75	2007 80	2008 85	2009 9
Annual Performance Objective		13		- 00	
Annual Indicator					
	Please fill in only th	on Objectives for the	above years. Numera:	tor Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Denominator	not required for futu	ne Objectives for the ure year data.	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before	not required for futu	ure year data.	<u>, </u>		Annual Indicators
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12	not required for futu	ure year data.	above years. Numera Objective and Perfor 2002		Annual Indicators
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before	not required for futu- hospital discharge.	ure year data. Annual	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	2004
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before Annual Performance Objective	not required for future hospital discharge.	ure year data. Annual 2001	Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data 2003	
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	not required for future hospital discharge. 2000 0 NaN	ure year data. Annual 2001	Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data 2003	2004
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	hospital discharge. 2000 0 NaN 0	Annual 2001 0 NaN 0	Objective and Perfor 2002 0 NaN	mance Data 2003 0 NaN	2004 Na
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	hospital discharge. 2000 NaN 0	Annual 2001 0 NaN 0	Objective and Perfor 2002 0 NaN 0	mance Data 2003 0 NaN 0	2004 Na
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	hospital discharge. 2000 NaN 0	Annual 2001 0 NaN 0	Objective and Perfor 2002 0 NaN 0	mance Data 2003 0 NaN 0 0	2004 Na
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	hospital discharge. 2000 NaN 0	Annual 2001 0 NaN 0	Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data 2003 0 NaN 0 Final	2004 Na
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	hospital discharge. 2000 NaN 0	Annual 2001 0 NaN 0	Objective and Perfor 2002 0 NaN 0	mance Data 2003 0 NaN 0 Final	2004 Na
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	hospital discharge. 2000 NaN 0 0 NaN 2005	Annual 2001 0 NaN 0	Objective and Perfor 2002 ONAN O Objective and Perfor 2007	mance Data 2003 0 NaN 0 0 Final	2004 Na Final
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	hospital discharge. 2000 NaN 0 0 NaN 0 0	Annual 2001 0 0 Annual 2006 0	Objective and Perfor 2002 0 NaN 0 0 Objective and Perfor 2007	mance Data 2003 0 NaN 0 Final mance Data 2008 20	2004 Na Final 2009
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	hospital discharge. 2000 0 NaN 0 2005	Annual 2001 0 0 Annual 2006 0	Objective and Perfor 2002 ONAN O Objective and Perfor 2007	mance Data 2003 0 NaN 0 Final mance Data 2008 20	2004 Na Final 2009

'ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 13							
ercent of children without health insurance.							
			Annual C	bjective and Perfor			
	2000	2001	0	2002	2003	2004	4
Annual Performance Objective			0	0	10		1
Annual Indicator	0.0		0.0	0.0	80.7		89.
Numerator	0		0	0	41,483		32,30
Denominator	54,401		49,645	48,477	51,386		36,21
Is the Data Provisional or Final?					Provisional	Provisio	onal
	2005	2006	Annual C	Objective and Perfor 2007	mance Data 2008	2009	
Annual Performance Objective		2000	15	15	2008		2
							_
Annual Indicator							
	Please fill in only th	ne Ohiectiv	es for the a	hove years. Numera	tor Denominator an	d Annual In	dicators
Numerator	not required for fut	ne Objectiv ure year da	es for the a	bove years. Numera	tor, Denominator an	d Annual In	dicators
Denominator	not required for fut	ne Objectiv ure year da	es for the a	bove years. Numera	tor, Denominator an	d Annual In	dicators
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 ercent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a	not required for fut	ure year da	rogram.	Objective and Perfor		d Annual In	dicators
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 ercent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a	not required for fut service paid by the I	ure year da	rogram.	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	2004	
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14	not required for fut service paid by the I	ure year da Medicaid P	rogram.	Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data 2003	2004	dicators
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 Percent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potential second of pote	not required for fut service paid by the I	ure year da Medicaid P	rogram. Annual C	Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data 2003	2004	
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 ercent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of the potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of the potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of the potential of the potenti	service paid by the f	ure year da	rogram. Annual C NaN 0	Objective and Perfor 2002 0 NaN	<u>mance Data</u> 2003 0 NaN	2004	
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 ercent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of the potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of the potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of the potential of the potenti	service paid by the I	ure year da	rogram. Annual C NaN 0	Objective and Perfor 2002 0 NaN 0	mance Data 2003 0 NaN	2004	Na
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 Percent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potential second of	service paid by the I	ure year da	rogram. Annual C NaN 0	Objective and Perfor 2002 0 NaN 0	mance Data 2003 0 NaN 0	2004	Na
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 Percent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potential second of	service paid by the I	ure year da	rogram. Annual C NaN 0 0 0	Objective and Perfor 2002 0 NaN 0	Mance Data 2003 0 NaN 0 Final	2004	Na
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 Percent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potential second of	not required for futures and the service paid by the I 2000	ure year da	rogram. Annual C NaN 0 Annual C	Dbjective and Perfor 2002 0 NaN 0 0 Dbjective and Perfor 2007	Mance Data 2003 0 NaN 0 Final Cmance Data 2008	2004 Provisio	Na
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 Percent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potential second of	not required for futures and the service paid by the I 2000	Medicaid P	rogram. Annual C NaN 0 0 0	Objective and Perfor 2002 0 NaN 0 0	mance Data 2003 0 NaN 0 Final	2004 Provisio	Na
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 ercent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially annual Indicator of Potential Performance Objective Annual Indicator	not required for futures and the service paid by the service paid	Medicaid P 2001 2006	rogram. Annual C NaN 0 Annual C	Dbjective and Perfor 2002 0 NaN 0 0 Dbjective and Perfor 2007	mance Data 2003 0 NaN 0 Final mance Data 2008	2004 Provisio	Na onal
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 ercent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second received received a second received received a second received received a second received	not required for futive service paid by the formula servic	Medicaid P 2001 2006	rogram. Annual C NaN 0 Annual C	Objective and Performance 2002 0 NaN 0 0 Objective and Performance 2007	mance Data 2003 0 NaN 0 Final mance Data 2008	2004 Provisio	N: onal

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 15								
he percent of very low birth weight infants among all live births.								
		į	Annual Ob	jective and Perfor	mance Data	<u>a</u>		
	2000	2001		2002	2003		2004	
Annual Performance Objective	1	-	1	0.8		0.8		0.
Annual Indicator	0.4		0.5	0.6		3.5		0.
Numerator	9		12	15		88		1
Denominator	2,423		2,478	2,515		2,516		2,41
Is the Data Provisional or Final?					Provisiona	al	Provision	nal
				teritor en I Berten				
	2005	2006	Annual Ob	jective and Perfor	mance Data 2008	<u>a</u>	2009	
Annual Performance Objective		2000	0.7	0.6	2000	0.6	2000	0.
Annual i enormance objective								
Annual Indicator								
Annual Indicator	Diagon fill in only th	ne Objectives	s for the ab	ove years. Numerat	or, Denomir	nator and	Annual Inc	dicators
	Please fill in only the not required for future.	ne Objectives ure year data	s for the ab a.	ove years. Numerat	or, Denomir	nator and	Annual Inc	dicators
Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for future.	ne Objectives ure year data	s for the ab a.	ove years. Numerat	or, Denomir	nator and	Annual Inc	licators
Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for future.	ne Objectives ure year data	s for the ab a.	ove years. Numerat	or, Denomir	nator and	Annual Inc	dicators
Numerator Denominator ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16	Please fill in only the not required for future.	ne Objectives ure year data	s for the ab	ove years. Numerat	or, Denomir	nator and	Annual Inc	dicators
Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 16	Please fill in only the not required for futured for fill for futured fill for futured for	ure year data	a.	ejective and Perfor	mance Data			licators
Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 e rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 throu	Please fill in only the not required for futured for f	ure year data	a. Annual Ob	ejective and Perform 2002		<u>a</u>	Annual Inc	
Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 16	Please fill in only the not required for futured for f	ure year data	Annual Ob	ejective and Perform 2002 30	mance Data			2
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 e rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 throu Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	gh 19. 2000 30 21.7	ure year data	a. Annual Ob	ejective and Perform 2002	mance Data	<u>a</u>		2
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 e rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 throu Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for futured for f	ure year data	Annual Ob	ejective and Perform 2002 30	mance Data	a 25		2
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 e rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 throu Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	gh 19. 2000 30 21.7	ure year data	Annual Ob 30 30.2	2002 30 15.1	mance Data	25 45.3		22
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 e rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 throu Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for future for fut	ure year data	30 30.2 4	2002 30 15.1	mance Data	25 45.3 6 13,237		22.
REFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 The rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) and a suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youth the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youth the rate (per 100,000)	Please fill in only the not required for future for fut	2001	30 30.2 4 13,237	2002 30 15.1 2 13,237	mance Data 2003 Provisiona	25 45.3 6 13,237	2004	22.
REFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 e rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 throu Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for future for fut	2001	30 30.2 4 13,237	2002 30 15.1	mance Data 2003 Provisiona	25 45.3 6 13,237	2004	22.
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 e rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 throu Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for future for fut	2001	30 30.2 4 13,237	2002 30 15.1 2 13,237	mance Data 2003 Provisiona	25 45.3 6 13,237	2004 Provision	22.
Rumerator Denominator ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 ne rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 throu Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	Please fill in only the not required for future for fut	2001 2006	30 30.2 4 13,237 Annual Ob	2002 30 15.1 2 13,237 2 19jective and Perform 2007	Provisiona mance Data 2008	25 45.3 6 13,237 al	2004 Provision 2009	2 22. 13,35 nal
Rumerator Denominator ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 The rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through through through through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through through through through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through thr	Please fill in only the not required for future for fut	2001 2006	30 30.2 4 13,237 Annual Ob	2002 30 15.1 2 13,237	Provisiona mance Data 2008	25 45.3 6 13,237 al	2004 Provision 2009	13,38 nal

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 17 Percent of very low birth weight infants delivered at facilities for high-r	isk deliveries and ne	eonates.			
			l Objective and Perfor		
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective		0	0	0	
	0.0			0.0	0.0
Numerator	0	0	0	0	
Denominator	1	1	1	1	
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional
		Annual	I Objective and Perfor	rmance Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	0	0	0	0	
Annual Indicator					
Denominator	not required for fut	ure year data.			
	ginning in the first tr		I Objective and Perfe	rmanaa Data	
		Annual	l Objective and Perfor		2004
rcent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be	2000		2002	rmance Data 2003	2004
rcent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be Annual Performance Objective	2000	Annual 2001	2002	2003	2
rcent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000 15 22.7	Annual 2001 17 32.1	2002 19 28.7	2003	20.
rcent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000 15 22.7 549	Annual 2001 17 32.1 795	2002 19 28.7 723	2003 20 31.1	20.
rcent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	2000 15 22.7 549 2,423	Annual 2001 17 32.1 795	2002 19 28.7 723	2003 20 31.1 780	2004 20. 48 2,41. Provisional
rcent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 15 22.7 549 2,423	Annual 2001 17 32.1 795	2002 19 28.7 723	2003 20 31.1 780 2,506	20. 48 2,41
rcent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 15 22.7 549 2,423	Annual 2001 17 32.1 795 2,478	2002 19 28.7 723 2,515 Objective and Perform	2003 20 31.1 780 2,506 Provisional	20. 48 2,41 Provisional
Annual Performance Objective Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 15 22.7 549 2,423 2005	Annual 2001 17 32.1 795 2,478 Annual 2006	2002 19 28.7 723 2,515 I Objective and Perforation	2003 20 31.1 780 2,506 Provisional	20. 48 2,41 Provisional 2009
Annual Performance Objective Annual Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 15 22.7 549 2,423 2005	Annual 2001 17 32.1 795 2,478	2002 19 28.7 723 2,515 I Objective and Perforation	2003 20 31.1 780 2,506 Provisional	20. 48 2,41 Provisional
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000 15 22.7 549 2,423 2005	Annual 2001 17 32.1 795 2,478 Annual 2006 28	2002 19 28.7 723 2,515 I Objective and Perforation	2003 20 31.1 780 2,506 Provisional mance Data 2008 31	20 48 2,4' Provisional

TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 1					
he percent of women receiving services in the MCH Programs who rece	eive a Pap smear.	-			
			Objective and Perfor		
	000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective		53	55	58	4
Annual Indicator		38.3	22.6	27.7	27.
Numerator	912	1,237	558	823	79
Denominator	3,091	3,227	2,471	2,975	2,89
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Provisional	Provisional
or .			Objective and Perfor		2000
	005	2006	2007	2008	2009 5
Annual Performance Objective	30	35	40	45	
Annual Indicator	lease fill in only th	e Objectives for the	above years. Numerat	or. Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Numerator no Denominator	ot required for futu	ire year data.		, 2	
5010					
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2					
ercent of pregnant women who have been screened for Hepatitis B surf	face antigen.				
	face antigen.	<u>Annual (</u>	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
ercent of pregnant women who have been screened for Hepatitis B surf	face antigen.	<u>Annual (</u> 2001	Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data 2003	2004
ercent of pregnant women who have been screened for Hepatitis B surf	000				
ercent of pregnant women who have been screened for Hepatitis B surf	60	2001	2002	2003	6
ercent of pregnant women who have been screened for Hepatitis B surf 20 Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	60	2001 62	2002 64	2003	72.
ercent of pregnant women who have been screened for Hepatitis B surf 20 Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	57.5 1,593	2001 62 91.3	2002 64 53.5	2003 66 76.0	72. 1,62
ercent of pregnant women who have been screened for Hepatitis B surf 20 Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	57.5 1,593	91.3 2,409	2002 64 53.5 1,347	2003 66 76.0 2,055	2004 6 72. 1,62 2,24 Provisional
ercent of pregnant women who have been screened for Hepatitis B surf 20 Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	57.5 1,593	91.3 2,409	2002 64 53.5 1,347	2003 66 76.0 2,055 2,703	72. 1,62 2,24
ercent of pregnant women who have been screened for Hepatitis B surf 20 Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	57.5 1,593	91.3 2,409 2,639	2002 64 53.5 1,347	2003 66 76.0 2,055 2,703 Provisional	72. 1,62 2,24
ercent of pregnant women who have been screened for Hepatitis B surface. Annual Performance Objective	57.5 1,593	91.3 2,409 2,639	64 53.5 1,347 2,519	2003 66 76.0 2,055 2,703 Provisional	72 1,62 2,24
ercent of pregnant women who have been screened for Hepatitis B surface. Annual Performance Objective	57.5 1,593 2,771	91.3 2,409 2,639	2002 64 53.5 1,347 2,519 Objective and Perform	2003 66 76.0 2,055 2,703 Provisional	72 1,62 2,24 Provisional
Annual Performance Objective Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Indicator Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Denominator Annual Indicator	000 60 57.5 1,593 2,771	2001 62 91.3 2,409 2,639 Annual 6 2006 80	2002 64 53.5 1,347 2,519 Objective and Perfor 2007	2003 66 76.0 2,055 2,703 Provisional mance Data 2008 85	72 1,62 2,24 Provisional 2009

STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 3							
ercent of infants who are exclusively breast fed at 6 months of age.							
	2000		Annual C	Objective and Perfor		2004	
Annual Performance Objective	2000 38	2001	40	2002 66	2003 68	2004	70
•			66.6	64.7	64.5		63.
Annual Indicator			687	668	727		,10
Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?			1,031	1,033	1,127 Provisional	Provisional	,73
is the Data Flovisional of Final s					FIOVISIONAL	Fiovisional	
			Annual C	Objective and Perfor	mance Data		
	2005	2006		2007	2008	2009	
Annual Performance Objective	65		70	75	75		
Denominator	not required for fut	ure year data	a.		tor, Denominator and		011
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 recent of pregnant women who receive at least one nutrition education	on and counseling s						
FATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 ercent of pregnant women who receive at least one nutrition education	on and counseling s	session (defi	ned as co		opics: diet recall, imp		
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 recent of pregnant women who receive at least one nutrition educate anced diets, exercise) as early as possible during their pregnancy.	on and counseling s	session (defi	ned as co	overing the following to	opics: diet recall, imp		
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 recent of pregnant women who receive at least one nutrition education	on and counseling s	session (defii	ned as co	overing the following to	opics: diet recall, imp	ortance of three	e m
ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 recent of pregnant women who receive at least one nutrition education anced diets, exercise) as early as possible during their pregnancy. Annual Performance Objective	on and counseling s	session (defii	ned as co	overing the following to Objective and Perfor 2002	opics: diet recall, imp mance Data 2003	ortance of three	e m
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 recent of pregnant women who receive at least one nutrition education lanced diets, exercise) as early as possible during their pregnancy. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	on and counseling s 2000	session (defii	ned as co Annual C	overing the following to Dispective and Perfor 2002	opics: diet recall, imposers diet recall, im	ortance of three	e m
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 recent of pregnant women who receive at least one nutrition education lanced diets, exercise) as early as possible during their pregnancy. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000 97 100.0 2,567	session (defii	ned as co Annual C 97 97.1	Display the following to the following t	opics: diet recall, importance Data 2003 98 98.3	2004 1	9 m
ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 recent of pregnant women who receive at least one nutrition education anced diets, exercise) as early as possible during their pregnancy. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	2000 97 100.0 2,567	session (defii	97 97.1 2,562	Depositive and Perfor 2002 97 98.8 2,489	opics: diet recall, importante diet recalle diet	2004 1	e m
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 ercent of pregnant women who receive at least one nutrition education lanced diets, exercise) as early as possible during their pregnancy. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 97 100.0 2,567	2001	97 97.1 2,562 2,639	Depositive and Perfor 2002 97 98.8 2,489 2,519	popics: diet recall, importante Data 2003 98 98.3 2,657 2,703 Provisional	2004 1 2 2	e m
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 Procent of pregnant women who receive at least one nutrition educate lanced diets, exercise) as early as possible during their pregnancy. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 97 100.0 2,567	2001	97 97.1 2,562 2,639	Depositive and Perfor 2002 97 98.8 2,489	popics: diet recall, importante Data 2003 98 98.3 2,657 2,703 Provisional	2004 1 2 2	e m
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 ercent of pregnant women who receive at least one nutrition education in the pregnancy. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 97 100.0 2,567 2,567	2001	97 97.1 2,562 2,639	Depositive and Perfor 2002 97 98.8 2,489 2,519 Depositive and Perfor 2002	popics: diet recall, importante Data 2003 98 98.3 2,657 2,703 Provisional	2004 1 2 2 Provisional	
CHARLE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 Percent of pregnant women who receive at least one nutrition educate palanced diets, exercise) as early as possible during their pregnancy. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 97 100.0 2,567 2,567	2001	97 97.1 2,562 2,639	povering the following to Dispective and Perfor 2002 97 98.8 2,489 2,519 Dispective and Perfor 2007	popics: diet recall, important provisional	2004 1 2 2 Provisional	((,,,

STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 5					
Percent of caretakers of infants who receive education and counseling	g related to feeding a	and nutrition of infant	ts.		
			Objective and Perfor		
America Books and a Collection	2000	2001 97	2002 97	2003 98	2004 9
Annual Performance Objective					
	100.0		93.8	99.7	100.
	1,770		2,400	2,469	2,92
Denominator	1,770	2,992	2,558	2,476	2,92
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Provisional	Provisional
				_	
	2005	Annual 2006	Objective and Perfor 2007	mance Data 2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective		99.7		99.9	2009
Annual Indicator					
	Diagon fill in only th	ne Objectives for the	above years. Numera	tor. Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Humorator					/ IIIII aai III alaata la
Denominator	not required for futu	ure year data.			7
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 8			Objective and Perfor		
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 8			Objective and Perfor 2002		2004
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 8	or low hemoglobin.	Annual	-	mance Data	
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 8 Percent pregnant women attending prenatal care who are screened for	or low hemoglobin.	Annual 2001	2002	mance Data 2003	2004
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 8 Percent pregnant women attending prenatal care who are screened for the street of th	2000 2000 2000 2000	Annual 2001 95	2002 95	mance Data 2003 96	2004
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 8 Percent pregnant women attending prenatal care who are screened for Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	2000 2000 2000 2000	Annual 2001 95 92.8	95 96.2 2,423	mance Data 2003 96 97.8	2004
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 8 Percent pregnant women attending prenatal care who are screened for Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	2000 2000 2 35 2 94.5 2,618 2,771	Annual 2001 95 92.8 2,448	95 96.2 2,423	mance Data 2003 96 97.8 2,480	2004 9 89. 2,01
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 8 Percent pregnant women attending prenatal care who are screened for Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 2000 2 35 2 94.5 2,618 2,771	Annual 2001 95 92.8 2,448	95 96.2 2,423	96 97.8 2,480 2,537	2004 89. 2,01 2,24
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 8 Percent pregnant women attending prenatal care who are screened for Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 2000 2 35 2 94.5 2,618 2,771	Annual 2001 95 92.8 2,448 2,639	95 96.2 2,423	96 97.8 2,480 2,537 Provisional	2004 9 89. 2,01 2,24
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 8 Percent pregnant women attending prenatal care who are screened for Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 2000 2 35 2 94.5 2,618 2,771	Annual 2001 95 92.8 2,448 2,639	95 96.2 2,423 2,519	96 97.8 2,480 2,537 Provisional	2004 89. 2,01 2,24
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 8 Percent pregnant women attending prenatal care who are screened for Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 35 94.5 2,618 2,771	Annual 2001 95 92.8 2,448 2,639 Annual	95 96.2 2,423 2,519 Objective and Perfor 2007	mance Data 2003 96 97.8 2,480 2,537 Provisional	2004 89 2,01 2,24 Provisional
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 8 Percent pregnant women attending prenatal care who are screened for Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000 35 94.5 2,618 2,771	Annual 2001 95 92.8 2,448 2,639 Annual 2006 90	95 96.2 2,423 2,519 Objective and Perfor 2007	mance Data 2003 96 97.8 2,480 2,537 Provisional mance Data 2008 95	2004 89 2,01 2,24 Provisional

STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 9							
ercent infants who received at least six bottles (1 bottle/30 days) of f	luoride in the first ye						
	2000	2001	Annual Ol	bjective and Perfor 2002	mance Data 2003	2004	
Annual Performance Objective		200 i	27	0	2003	2004	2
		-	84.8	34.9	37.4		10.
	100.0		1,562	525	500		22
Denominator			1,842	1,506	1,337	Draviaian	2,19
Is the Data Provisional or Final?					Provisional	Provision	naı
			Annual Ol	bjective and Perfor	mance Data		
	2005	2006		2007	2008	2009	
Annual Performance Objective	15		20	20	20		2
Denominator	not required for futu	he Objective ure year data	es for the aba.	bove years. Numerat	tor, Denominator and	d Annual Ind	licators
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10	Please fill in only the not required for future.	ure year data	a.		tor, Denominator and	d Annual Ind	licators
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10	Please fill in only the not required for future.	ure year data	a. e last 12 m			d Annual Ind	licators
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 rcent of children with special needs who have a completed reevalu	Please fill in only the not required for futured for f	ure year data	a. e last 12 m	nonths.		d Annual Ind	licators
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10	Please fill in only the not required for futured for f	ure year data	a. e last 12 m	nonths. bjective and Perfor	mance Data		
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 rcent of children with special needs who have a completed reevalu	Please fill in only the not required for future ation by the CSN teat 2000	am within the	e last 12 m	nonths. bjective and Perfor 2002	mance Data 2003		(
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 ercent of children with special needs who have a completed reevalu Annual Performance Objective	Please fill in only the not required for future ation by the CSN teases 2000 20 55.0	am within the	e last 12 m Annual Ol	nonths. bjective and Perfor 2002	mance Data 2003		€ 54
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 ercent of children with special needs who have a completed reevalu Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for future ation by the CSN teat 2000 20 55.0 366	am within the	e last 12 m Annual Ol 22 63.9	honths. bjective and Perfor 2002 64 65.1	mance Data 2003 64 59.4		54 51
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 Percent of children with special needs who have a completed reevalu Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for future ation by the CSN teason 2000 20 55.0 366 666	am within the	e last 12 m Annual Ol 22 63.9 434	65.1	mance Data 2003 64 59.4 479		54 51 90
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 ercent of children with special needs who have a completed reevalu Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for future ation by the CSN teason 2000 20 55.0 366 666	am within the	e last 12 m Annual Ol 22 63.9 434 679	64 65.1 518	mance Data 2003 64 59.4 479 807 Provisional	2004	54 51 90
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 ercent of children with special needs who have a completed reevalu Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for future ation by the CSN teason 2000 20 55.0 366 666	am within the	e last 12 m Annual Ol 22 63.9 434 679	65.1	mance Data 2003 64 59.4 479 807 Provisional	2004	54 51 96
CANNUAL PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 Percent of children with special needs who have a completed reevalu Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for future ation by the CSN teases 2000 20 55.0 366 666	am within the	e last 12 m Annual Ol 22 63.9 434 679	honths. bjective and Perfor 2002 64 65.1 518 796 bjective and Perfor	mance Data 2003 64 59.4 479 807 Provisional	2004 Provision	54 51 90
Annual Performance Objective Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 20 55.0 366 666	am within the	e last 12 m Annual Ol 22 63.9 434 679 Annual Ol 65	honths. bjective and Perfor 2002 64 65.1 518 796 bjective and Perfor 2007	mance Data 2003 64 59.4 479 807 Provisional mance Data 2008 70	2004 Provision 2009	54 51 96 nal

FSM has not had the capability to provide screening for these conditions-- Not applicable to the FSM.

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Performance Measure #1

Field Name: PM01 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

These types of newborn screening (phynylketonuria, congenital hypothyrodism, galactosemia, sickle cell disease) are not available in the FSM.

2. Section Number: Performance Measure #1

Field Name: PM01 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

N.B.: This is only a dummy data. Since FSM doesn't do any of these and inserting 0 will creat a non numeric number, which is not allowed by this system, entering this way was necessary. Please ignore data.

3. Section Number: Performance Measure #1

Field Name: PM01 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Not applicable to FSM.

4. Section Number: Performance Measure #2

Field Name: PM02 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

FSM has not carried out a survey to determine this performance measure. It is planning to conduct a survey next year as part of the Needs Assessment and will include this and the other new Performance Measures. The number is an estimate only.

5. Section Number: Performance Measure #2

Field Name: PM02 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

FSM has never carried out the SLAITS therefore the data are only dummy and should be ignored.

6. Section Number: Performance Measure #2

Field Name: PM02 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

2004 CSHCN survey result; 62% (172 /279). 62% responded "yes" out of 279 family with CSHCN. 62% is the total FSM. Chuuk (20%), Kosrae (10%), Pohnpei (18%) and Yap (13%). Average FSM is equal to 15.5%.

7. Section Number: Performance Measure #3

Field Name: PM03 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Data not available since FSM has not carried out a survey. However, all children with special health care needs receive all their medical related services from hospitals and the public health clinics. These hospitals or public health clinics are the medical homes for the children.

Section Number: Performance Measure #3

Field Name: PM03 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

FSM has never carried out the SLAITS therefore the data are only dummy and should be ignored.

9. Section Number: Performance Measure #3

Field Name: PM03 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

100 out of 279 responded to the CSHCN survey. 57 out of 100 responded (yes). Chuuk (27%), Kosrae (8%), Pohnpei (17%) and Yap (5%). FSM average 14.25%.

10. Section Number: Performance Measure #4

Field Name: PM04 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Because FSM has never carried out the SLAITS, this should be ignored. However, the FSM MCH Program has just recently collected some data on health insurance recently. Therefore, this data is based on what we collected this year.

11. Section Number: Performance Measure #4

Field Name: PM04 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

20% (56/279) of the CSHCN survey have private and or public insurance to pay for the services they need. Chuuk (5%), Kosrae (6%), Pohnpei (6%) and Yap (3%). Total FSM CSHCN family have insurance 5%.

12. Section Number: Performance Measure #5

Field Name: PM05 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

FSM has never carried out the SLAITS therefore the data are only dummy and should be ignored.

13. Section Number: Performance Measure #5

Field Name: PM05 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The data reported in 2004 are pre-populated with the data from 2003 for this performance measure.

14. Section Number: Performance Measure #6

Field Name: PM06 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

FSM has never carried out the SLAITS therefore the data are only dummy and should be ignored.

15. Section Number: Performance Measure #6

Field Name: PM06 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The data reported in 2004 are pre-populated with the data from 2003 for this performance measure.

16. Section Number: Performance Measure #12

Field Name: PM12 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note: Not applicable.

17. Section Number: Performance Measure #12

Field Name: PM12 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note: Not applicable.

18. Section Number: Performance Measure #14

Field Name: PM14 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note: Not applicable

19. Section Number: Performance Measure #14

Field Name: PM14 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Not applicable -- FSM is not eligible for Medicaid and Medicare

20. Section Number: Performance Measure #14

Field Name: PM14 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Not applicable - FSM is not eligible for medicaid and medicare.

21. Section Number: Performance Measure #17

Field Name: PM17 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

There are no facilities for high-risk pregnancy or neonate. This is not applicable for the FSM.

22. Section Number: Performance Measure #17

Field Name: PM17 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

FSM does not have any facilities classified as high risk medical facilities.

23. Section Number: Performance Measure #17

Field Name: PM17 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

This is not applicable for the FSM.

FORM 12 TRACKING HEALTH OUTCOME MEASURES [SECS 505 (A)(2)(B)(III) AND 506 (A)(2)(A)(III)] STATE: FM

OUTCOME MEASURE # 01									
The infant mortality rate per 1,000 live births.									
			Annual C	bjective ar	nd Perfor	mance Data	!		
	2000	2001		2002		2003		2004	
Annual Performance Objective	22		22		21		21		20
Annual Indicator	17.7		21.8						17.4
Numerator	43		54						42
Denominator	2,423	'	2,478						2,415
Is the Data Provisional or Final?								Provisiona	al
			Annual C	bjective ar	nd Perfor	mance Data	!		
	2005	2006		2007		2008		2009	
Annual Performance Objective	20		21		23		25		30
Annual Indicator	Please fill in only t	he Ohiective	s for the a	hove vears	Numera	tor Denomin	ator and	Annual Indic	cators are
Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only t not required for fut	ture year data	a.	bovo youro	. rtamora	ior, Borioriiii	ator and	, amaa maa	atoro aro
Denominator									
OUTCOME MEASURE # 02									
The ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality	rate.								
			Annual C	=	nd Perfor	mance Data	<u>l</u>		
	2000	2001	•	2002	0	2003	•	2004	0
Annual Performance Objective			0		0		0		0
Annual Indicator	0		0						NaN
Numerator	·								0
Denominator	·								0
Is the Data Provisional or Final?	•							Provisiona	al
			Annual C	bjective ar	nd Perfor	mance Data	<u>l</u>		
	2005	2006		2007		2008		2009	
Annual Performance Objective									
Annual Indicator	•								
Numerator	Please fill in only t			bove years	. Numera	tor, Denomin	ator and	Annual Indic	ators are

OUTCOME MEASURE # 03					
The neonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.					
			Objective and Perfor		
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective	15	15	14.5	14	4.5 1
Annual Indicator	14.0	9.7			13.
Numerator	34	24			3
Denominator	2,423	2,478			2,41
Is the Data Provisional or Final?					Provisional
			Objective and Perfor		
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	14	14.5	14.5		15 1
Annual Indicator					
		()	- l N l 4	D	A I I
Numerator	Please fill in only the	ne Objectives for the a ure year data	above years. Numerat	tor, Denominator	and Annual Indicators
Denominator	not required for fut	ne Objectives for the a	above years. Numerat	tor, Denominator	and Annual Indicators
OUTCOME MEASURE # 04	not required for fut	ure year data.	Dbjective and Perfor	· 	and Annual Indicators
OUTCOME MEASURE # 04	not required for fut	ure year data. Annual (Objective and Perfor	mance Data 2003	2004
DUTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births. Annual Performance Objective	2000	Annual (2001	Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data 2003	2004 6.5
DUTCOME MEASURE # 04 he postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000 7 3.7	Annual (2001	Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data 2003	2004 6.5
DUTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	2000	Annual (2001 7 12.1 30	Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data 2003	
DUTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	2000 7 3.7 9 2,423	Annual (2001 7 12.1 30	Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data 2003	2004 6.5 4.
DUTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 7 3.7 9 2,423	Annual (2001 7 12.1 30	Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data 2003	2004 6.5 4. 1 2,41
DUTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 7 3.7 9 2,423	Annual (2001 7 12.1 30 2,478	Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data 2003	2004 6.5 4. 1 2,41
DUTCOME MEASURE # 04 the postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 7 3.7 9 2,423	Annual (2001 7 12.1 30 2,478	Objective and Perfor 2002 6.5	mance Data 2003	2004 6.5 4. 1 2,41
DUTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 7 3.7 9 2,423	Annual (2001 7 12.1 30 2,478	Objective and Perfor 2002 6.5	mance Data 2003	2004 6.5 4. 1 2,41 Provisional
DUTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000 7 3.7 9 2,423	Annual (2001 7 12.1 30 2,478 Annual (2006 6	Objective and Perfor 2002 6.5 Objective and Perfor 2007 7	mance Data 2003	2004 6.5 4. 1 2,41 Provisional 2009
DUTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000 7 3.7 9 2,423 2005 Please fill in only the not required for futh	Annual (2001 7 12.1 30 2,478 Annual (2006 6	Objective and Perfor 2002 6.5 Objective and Perfor 2007 7	mance Data 2003	2004 6.5 4 1 2,41 Provisional

OUTCOME MEASURE # 05								
he perinatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births plus fetal deaths.								
			al Objective an	d Perfori		<u>1</u>		
	2000	2001	2002		2003		2004	
Annual Performance Objective	40	4	0	38		38		38
Annual Indicator	42.5	29.	6					40.2
Numerator	106	7	5					10
Denominator	2,496	2,53	7					2,49
Is the Data Provisional or Final?							Provision	nal
	2005	<u>Annu</u> 2006	al Objective an 2007	d Perfori	mance Data 2008	1	2009	
Annual Performance Objective			2007 5	50	2006	50	2009	5
Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator			<u> </u>	30		30		
Annual Indicator								
	Diagon fill in only th	ne Objectives for th	e ahove vears	Numerati	or Denomin	ator and	Annual Ind	licators
Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for futi	ne Objectives for thure year data.	e above years.	Numerate	or, Denomin	nator and a	Annual Ind	licators
Numerator Denominator OUTCOME MEASURE # 06	Please fill in only the not required for futured for futured for futured for future for	ure year data. Annu	al Objective an		mance Data			licators
Numerator Denominator DUTCOME MEASURE # 06 The child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14.	Please fill in only the not required for future for future.	ure year data. Annu 2001	al Objective an 2002	d Perfori		1	Annual Ind	
Numerator Denominator DUTCOME MEASURE # 06 he child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14. Annual Performance Objective	Please fill in only the not required for future for fut	Annu 2001	al Objective an 2002		mance Data			7
Numerator Denominator PUTCOME MEASURE # 06 he child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14.	Please fill in only the not required for future for fut	Annu 2001 3	al Objective an 2002 0	d Perfori	mance Data	1		7 58.
Numerator Denominator DUTCOME MEASURE # 06 the child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	2000 30 55.9	Annu 2001 3	al Objective an 2002	d Perfori	mance Data	1		7 58.
Numerator Denominator DUTCOME MEASURE # 06 he child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for future for fut	Annu 2001 3	al Objective an 2002 0 1	d Perfori	mance Data	1		7 58.
Numerator Denominator DUTCOME MEASURE # 06 he child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	2000 30 55.9 24 42,930	Annu 2001 3	al Objective an 2002 0 1	d Perfori	mance Data	1		7 58. 2 40,75
Numerator Denominator DUTCOME MEASURE # 06 The child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 30 55.9 24 42,930	Annu 2001 3 77. 3 40,21	al Objective an 2002 0 1 1 4	d Perfori	mance Data 2003	70	2004	7 58. 2 40,75
Numerator Denominator DUTCOME MEASURE # 06 he child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 30 55.9 24 42,930	Annu 2001 3 77. 3 40,21	al Objective an 2002 0 1	d Perfori	mance Data 2003	70	2004	7 58. 2 40,75
Numerator Denominator DUTCOME MEASURE # 06 The child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 30 55.9 24 42,930	Annu 2001 3 40,21 Annu 2006	al Objective an 2002 0 1 1 4 al Objective an	d Perfori	mance Data 2003	70	2004 Provision	7 58. 2 40,75
Numerator DUTCOME MEASURE # 06 The child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000 30 55.9 24 42,930 2005	Annu 2001 3 77. 3 40,21 Annu 2006 6	al Objective an 2002 0 1 1 4 al Objective an 2007	d Perform 75 d Perform 65	mance Data 2003 mance Data 2008	70 70 65	2004 Provision 2009	7 58. 2 40,75 nal
Numerator DUTCOME MEASURE # 06 The child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000 2000 30 55.9 24 42,930 Please fill in only the not required for futton to the note of the n	Annu 2001 3 77. 3 40,21 Annu 2006 6	al Objective an 2002 0 1 1 4 al Objective an 2007	d Perform 75 d Perform 65	mance Data 2003 mance Data 2008	70 70 65	2004 Provision 2009	7 58 2 40,75 nal

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

CHARACTERISTICS DOCUMENTING FAMILY PARTICIPATION IN CSHCN PROGRAMS STATE: FM 1. Family members participate on advisory committee or task forces and are offering training, mentoring, and reimbursement, when appropriate. 2 2. Financial support (financial grants, technical assistance, travel, and child care) is offered for parent activities or parent groups. 2 3. Family members are involved in the Children with Special Health Care Needs elements of the MCH Block Grant Application process. 3 4. Family members are involved in service training of CSHCN staff and providers. 2 5. Family members hired as paid staff or consultants to the State CSHCN program (a family member is hired for his or her expertise as a family member). 2 6. Family members of diverse cultures are involved in all of the above activities. 2 Total Score: 13 Rating Key 0 = Not Met 1 = Partially Met 2 = Mostly Met

3 = Completely Met

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

FORM 14 LIST OF MCH PRIORITY NEEDS

[Sec. 505(a)(5)]

STATE: FM FY: 2006

Your State's 5-year Needs Assessment should identify the need for preventive and primary care services for pregnant women, mothers, and infants; preventive and primary care services for children and services for Children with Special Health Care Needs. With each year's Block Grant application, provide a list (whether or not the priority needs change) of the top maternal and child health needs in your state. Using simple sentence or phrase, list below your State's needs. Examples of such statements are: "To reduce the barriers to the delivery of care for pregnant women, " and "The infant mortality rate for minorities should be reduced."

MCHB will capture annually every State's top 7 to 10 priority needs in an information system for comparison, tracking, and reporting purposes; you must list at least 7 and no more than 10. Note that the numbers listed below are for computer tracking only and are not meant to indicate priority order. If your State wishes to report more than 10 priority needs, list additional priority needs in a note at the form level.

- 1. To increase the percentage of women receiving adequate prenatal care.
- 2. To improve the nutritional status of women during their pregnancy.
- 3. To decrease infant mortality rate.
- 4. To increase the percentage of infants exclusively breastfeeding at 6 months of age.
- 5. To decrease dental disease among children.
- 6. To improve the nutritional status of children.
- 7. To decrease the percentages of acute infectious illnesses among children.
- 8. To increase the percentage of children with special needs served by a team.
- 9.
- 10.

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

FORM 15 TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE(TA) REQUEST

STATE: FM APPLICATION YEAR: 2006

No.	Category of Technical Assistance Requested	Description of Technical Assistance Requested (max 250 characters)	Reason(s) Why Assistance Is Needed (max 250 characters)	What State, Organization or Individual Would You suggest Provide the TA (if known) (max 250 characters)	
1.	State Performance Measure Issues If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here: 6	Reqesting a consultant to assist the National MCH Program to develop a comprehensive prenentive dental and oral hygiene program for women, infants and children in the FSM.	The National MCH Program dispossess the level of expertise in this area nor do we expect to find at the state level.	Requesting HRSA to assist in identifying the consultant.	
2.	State Performance Measure Issues If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here: 4	Reqesting a consultant to assist the National MCH Program to develop a comprehensive Nutrition Education program for women, infants and children in the FSM.	The National MCH Program dispossess the level of expertise in this area nor do we expect to find at the state level.	UNICEF	
3.	State Performance Measure Issues If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here: 1	Reqesting a consultant to assist the National MCH Program to develop systems for providing follow-ups of screening Education where positive results have been found.	The National MCH Program dispossess the level of expertise in this area nor do we expect to find at the state level.	Requesting HRSA to assist in identifying the consultant.	
4.	Data-related Issues - Data Systems Development If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:N/A	National MCH Staff continue to have difficulty in completing required forms	The Program is Unique and only TVIS Staffs know the specifics.	Christopher Dykton	
5.	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:				
6.	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:				
7.	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:				
8.	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:				
9.	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:				
	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the				

measure number here:		
If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:		
If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:		

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

FORM 16 STATE PERFORMANCE AND OUTCOME MEASURE DETAIL SHEET STATE: FM

SP # 1

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: The percent of women receiving services in the MCH Programs who receive a Pap smear.

STATUS: Acti

GOAL To assure that women receiving services through the MCH Program receive an annual Pap smear, appropriate referrals

for treatment, and follow up after referral

DEFINITION

Numerator:

Number of women receiving MCH program services who receive a Pap smear

Denominator:

Number of women receiving MCH program services

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

SIGNIFICANCE

Pap smear log book Family Planning log book Prenatal care log book Post Partum log book

The Pap Smear Screening Program for women in MCH programs (family planning clinics, prenatal care clinics, and post partum clinics) has been implemented to identify women at risk for cervical cancer and to assure early treatment. The early identification of women with positive Pap smears, referral for treatment and follow up services are important to decrease the indigence of the highest cause of cancer morbidity and mortality of women in the child bearing ages.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of pregnant women who have been screened for Hepatitis B surface antigen.

STATUS:

GOAL

To assure that all pregnant women receive Hepatitis B screening to identify those pregnant women who are HbAgS positive

in order to assure their infants receive HbIG when appropriate and immunizations.

DEFINITION

Numerator:

Number of pregnant women screened for Hepatitis B surface antigen

Denominator:

Number of pregnant women Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

SIGNIFICANCE

Prenatal care log book

Hepatitis B viral (HBV) infection is a major cause of acute and chronic hepatitis, cirrhosis, and primary hepatocellular Hepatitis B viral (HBV) intection is a major cause of acute and chronic hepatitis, cirrhosis, and primary hepatocellular carcinoma. The likelihood of becoming chronically infected with HBV varies inversely with the age at which infection occurs./ HBV transmitted from HbAgS positive mothers to their newborns results in HBV carriage from up to 90% of infants. Between 25% and 50% of children infected before 5 years of age become carriers, whereas only 8% - 10% of acutely infected adults become carriers. Therefore prevention strategies for populations in which HBV infection is endemic are directed at vaccinating infants with hepatitis B vaccine, usually beginning at birth, to prevent both perinatal and childhood transmission of infection.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of infants who are exclusively breast fed at 6 months of age.

STATUS: Active

To assure that all infants are exclusively breast fed during the first six months of life GOAL

DEFINITION

Numerator:Number of infants who were exclusively breast fed through 6 months of age

Denominator:

Number of 6 month old infants in well baby clinics

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

MCH Well Baby Clinic data base

SIGNIFICANCE

All infants in the Federated States of Micronesia are discharged from the hospital with mothers exclusively breast feeding. All infants in the Federated States of Micronesia are discharged from the hospital with mothers exclusively breast feeding. The prevalence rate of infants between discharge and 6 months who continue to breast-feed has not been documented. However, for 1996, the MCH Public Health Nurses reported that after 4 months of age, only 60% of infants in the FSM are exclusively breast fed. Several studies have documented that the importance of breast feeding is not only assuring the most nutritious food for infants, but also confers some immunity against common infectious illnesses of the newborn. With improving the rates of infants who are exclusively breast fed, it is anticipated that the growth rates of infants will improve, and the incidence and severity of common infectious illnesses among infants will be decreased.

Page 54 of 90

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of pregnant women who receive at least one nutrition education and counseling session (defined as covering the

following topics: diet recall, importance of three meals, balanced diets, exercise) as early as possible during their

pregnancy.

STATUS: Active

GOAL To assure that all pregnant women receive nutrition education and counseling during their pregnancy.

DEFINITION

Numerator

Number of women who receive one nutrition education and counseling session.

Denominator:

Number of pregnant women who attend prenatal care clinic

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

Prenatal Clinic log book

SIGNIFICANCE

The infant mortality rates of the FSM is estimated to be 26 per 1,000 live births. An informal study in all four states during 1995 and 1996 showed that the causes of these infant deaths were due primarily to prematurity with low birth weights, malnutrition, meconium aspiration, and severe infections. Many of these conditions may be secondary to inadequate diets of the mothers during the pregnancy. Therefore, in order to improve birth outcomes among pregnant women in the Federated States of Micronesia, nutrition education in conjunction with increasing the number of women initiating prenatal care in the

first trimesters will be priority activities for the prenatal care programs.

SP#____5

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of caretakers of infants who receive education and counseling related to feeding and nutrition of infants.

STATUS: Active

GOAL To assure that caretakers of infants receive appropriate nutrition and feeding education and counseling.

DEFINITION Education and counseling is defined as: one session at 0-6 months of age, one session at 7-9 months of age, and one

session at 10-12 months of age.

Numerator

Number of caretakers who receive all three education and counseling sessions

Denominator:

Number of caretakers who attend well baby clinic with an infant up to one year of age.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

Well Baby Clinic log book

SIGNIFICANCE

Nutritional problems are still prevalent among many infants and children in the Federated States of Micronesia. Children continue to be admitted to the hospital with dehydration and malnutrition; children continue to be identified with the complications of Vitamin A deficiency; and children continue to fail to thrive. In order to make an impact on these preventable nutritional problems, nutrition education will be a major component of the well baby care services

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent pregnant women attending prenatal care who are screened for low hemoglobin.

STATUS: Active

GOAL To identify and treat pregnant women who are at nutritional risk early in the pregnancy.

DEFINITION

Numerator:Number of pregnant womrn who receive a screening for low hemoglobin at their first prenatal care visit.

Denominator:

Number of women who attend the first prenatal care visit.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

Prenatal logbook

SIGNIFICANCE

Anemia during pregnancy is an indicator of a state of nutritional risk both for the pregnant woman and for the fetus. The long term consequences of low hemoglobin and anemia in a pregnant women is reflected in the development of iron deficiency states and possible iron deficiency anemia in the infant early in life. This nutritional risk state may have an impact on the growth and development of the infant.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent infants who received at least six bottles (1 bottle/30 days) of fluoride in the first year of life

STATUS: Active

GOAL To assure that infants start fluoride suplementation in the first year of life as a preventive measure.

DEFINITION

Numerator:

Number of one year old infants who attended the well baby clinic and received a minimum of six bottles of fluoride

supplements.

Denominator:

Number of one year old infants who attend the well baby clinic.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

Well Baby Cllinic data base.

SIGNIFICANCE

The early initiation of fluoride supplements is an important measure towards the prevention of dental disease in children. Fluoride supplementation is only one of a multi-pronged strategy of a comprehensive oral health program which should also include a multi-media campaign to increase the awarness of the community to the problems of dental disease among young children, an educational campaign to present the facts, a Headstart and school based oral hygiene program that focuses on educating the children and promoting dental health through brushing demonstrations, and finally a fluoride supplement

program.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of children with special needs who have a completed reevaluation by the CSN team within the last 12 months.

STATUS: Activ

GOAL To assure that children with special needs have an updated evaluation that documents progress from treatment and

identifies new special needs.

DEFINITION

Numerator:

Number of children with special needs who have an evaluation within 12 months of the last evaluation.

Denominator:

Number of children with special needs identified in the Children with Special Needs Program data base.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

Children with Special Needs Program data base

SIGNIFICANCE

Because the health status and the special needs of children with handicapping conditions are constantly changing, there is a need for a periodic re-evaluation to document to progress and gains that the child has achieved because of the treatment

and rehabilitation activities and to document further special needs.

FORM NOTES FOR FORM 16
None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

FORM 17 HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATORS FORMS FOR HSCI 01 THROUGH 04, 07 & 08 - MULTI-YEAR DATA

STATE: FM

HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY MEASURE # 01

The rate of children hospitalized for asthma (ICD-9 Codes: 493.0 -493.9) per 10,000 children less than five years of age.

			Annual Indicator Da	ata .	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Indicator	23.0	16.9	18.0	53.0	95.9
Numerator	29	25	29	91	138
Denominator	12,634	14,783	16,154	17,154	14,391
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Provisional	Provisional

HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY MEASURE # 02

The percent Medicaid enrollees whose age is less than one year during the reporting year who received at least one initial periodic screen.

			Annual Indicator D	<u>ata</u>	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Indicator	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Numerator	1	1	1	1	1
Denominator	1	1	1	1	1
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional

HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY MEASURE # 03

The percent State Childrens Health Insurance Program (SCHIP) enrollees whose age is less than one year during the reporting year who received at least one periodic screen.

			Annual Indicator D	<u>ata</u>	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Indicator	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Numerator	1	1	1	1	1
Denominator	1	1	1	1	1
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional

HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY MEASURE # 04

The percent of women (15 through 44) with a live birth during the reporting year whose observed to expected prenatal visits are greater than or equal to 80 percent on the Kotelchuck Index.

			Annual Indicator D	<u>ata</u>	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Indicator	50.6	48.9	53.2	54.4	39.2
Numerator	475	457	575	912	629
Denominator	938	935	1,080	1,678	1,603
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Provisional	Provisional

he percent of EPSDT eligible children aged 6 through 9 years who		,	ū	Annual Indicator Da	ata	
	2000	2001	:	2002	2003	2004
Annual Indicato	r <u> </u>	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Numerato	r	1	1	1	1	
Denominato	r	1	1	1	1	
Is the Data Provisional or Final	<u> </u>					
	r				Final	Provisional
ALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY MEASURE # 08		e services fror		ldren with Special He	ealth Care Needs (CS	
ALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY MEASURE # 08		e services fror		•	ealth Care Needs (CS	
ALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY MEASURE # 08	ng rehabilitative			Annual Indicator Da	ealth Care Needs (CS	SHCN) Program.
ALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY MEASURE # 08 e percent of State SSI beneficiaries less than 16 years old receiving	ng rehabilitative 2000	2001	:	Annual Indicator Da	ealth Care Needs (CS ata 2003	SHCN) Program.

Final

Final

Is the Data Provisional or Final?

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #02

Field Name: HSC02 **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note: This is not applicable

Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #02

Field Name: HSC02 **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Again, Medicaid is not available to the FSM. This is a dummy data and should be ignored.

Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #02

Field Name: HSC02 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

FSM is not applicable.

Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #03

Field Name: HSC03 **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Though FSM does not have the SCHIP, about 2.8% of the total children population (1-15 years of age) are covered by the only health insurance program avaiable, the FSM National Government Employees Health Insurance Program (NGEIP).

Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #03

Field Name: HSC03 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003

Field Note:

Again, SCHIP is not available to the FSM. This is a dummy data and should be ignored.

Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #07

Field Name: HSC07 **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note: This is not applicable

Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #07

Field Name: HSC07 **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2003

Again, none of the standard EPSDT tests is available in the FSM. Therefore, these data are only dummy and should be ignored or removed completely.

Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #07

Field Name: HSC07 **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Not applicable to FSM.

Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #08 Field Name: HSC08

Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

FSM is not participating in the US SSI Program.

10. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #08

Field Name: HSC08 **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2003

The Federal U.S. SSI Program is not available in the FSM. This data is only used as dummy and should be removed or ignored completely.

11. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #08

Field Name: HSC08 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Not applicable to FSM.

FORM 18 HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #05 (MEDICAID AND NON-MEDICAID COMPARISON) STATE: FM

INDICATOR #05 Comparison of health system capacity	V=45	DATA SOURCE		POPULATION			
indicators for Medicaid, non-Medicaid, and all MCH populations in the State	YEAR	DATA SOURCE	MEDICAID	NON-MEDICAID	ALL		
a) Percent of low birth weight (< 2,500 grams)	2004	Other		5.1	5.1		
b) Infant deaths per 1,000 live births	2004	Other		17.4	17.4		
c) Percent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beginning in the first trimester	2004	Other		20.1	20.1		
d) Percent of pregnant women with adequate prenatal care(observed to expected prenatal visits is greater than or equal to 80% [Kotelchuck Index])	2004	Other		39.2	39.2		

HEALTH SYSTEMS CAP	ACITY INDICAT	M 18 OR #06(MEDICAID ELIGIBILITY LEVEL) E: FM
INDICATOR #06 The percent of poverty level for eligibility in the State's Medicaid programs for infants (0 to 1), children, Medicaid and pregnant women.	YEAR	PERCENT OF POVERTY LEVEL MEDICAID (Valid range: 100-300 percent)
a) Infants (0 to 1)	2004	
b) Medicaid Children (Age range to) (Age range to) (Age range to)		
c) Pregnant Women	2004	

HEALTH SYSTEMS C	APACITY INDICA	M 18 .TOR #06(SCHIP ELIGIBILITY LEVEL) E: FM
INDICATOR #06 The percent of poverty level for eligibility in the State's SCHIP programs for infants (0 to 1), children, SCHIP and pregnant women.	YEAR	PERCENT OF POVERTY LEVEL SCHIP
a) Infants (0 to 1)	2004	
b) Medicaid Children (Age range to) (Age range to) (Age range to)		
c) Pregnant Women	2004	

Not applicable to FSM.

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Indicator 06 - Medicaid

Field Name: Med_Infant Row Name: Infants Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Not applicable to FSM.

2. Section Number: Indicator 06 - Medicaid

Field Name: Med_Children Row Name: Medicaid Children

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note: Not applicable.

3. Section Number: Indicator 06 - Medicaid

Field Name: Med_Women
Row Name: Pregnant Women

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note: Not applicable.

4. Section Number: Indicator 06 - SCHIP Field Name: SCHIP_Infant

Field Name: SCHIP_Infa Row Name: Infants Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Not applicable to FSM.

5. Section Number: Indicator 06 - SCHIP

Field Name: SCHIP_Children Row Name: SCHIP Children

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Not applicable to FSM.

6. Section Number: Indicator 06 - SCHIP

Field Name: SCHIP_Women
Row Name: Pregnant Women

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note: Not applicable.

7. Section Number: Indicator 05

Field Name: LowBirthWeight

Row Name: Percent of ow birth weight (<2,500 grams)

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Although FSM is not eligible for Medicaid and Medicare, we are providing these data based on our public health clinic and hospital records.

8. Section Number: Indicator 05

Field Name: InfantDeath

Row Name: Infant deaths per 1,000 live births

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Although FSM is not eligible for Medicaid and Medicare, we are providing these data based on our public health clinic and hospital records.

Section Number: Indicator 05
 Field Name: CareFirstTrimester

Row Name: Percent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beginning in the first trimester

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Although FSM is not eligible for Medicaid and Medicare, we are providing these data based on our public health clinic and hospital records.

 Section Number: Indicator 05 Field Name: AdequateCare

Row Name: Percent of pregnant women with adequate prenatal care

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Although FSM is not eligible for Medicaid and Medicare, we are providing these data based on our public health clinic and hospital records.

FORM 19 HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR - REPORTING AND TRACKING FORM STATE: FM

HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #09A (General MCH Data Capacity)
(The Ability of the State to Assure MCH Program Access to Policy and Program Relevant Informatioin)

DATABASES OR SURVEYS	Does your MCH program have the ability to obtain data for program planning or policy purposes in a timely manner? (Select 1 - 3) *	Does your MCH program have Direct access to the electronic database for analysis? (Select Y/N)
ANNUAL DATA LINKAGES Annual linkage of infant birth and infant death certificates	1	No
Annual linkage of birth certificates and Medicaid Eligibility or Paid Claims Files	1	No
Annual linkage of birth certificates and WIC eligibility files	1	No
Annual linkage of birth certificates and newborn screening files	1	No
REGISTRIES AND SURVEYS Hospital discharge survey for at least 90% of in-State discharges	1	No
Annual birth defects surveillance system	1	No
Survey of recent mothers at least every two years (like PRAMS)	1	No

- 1 = No, the MCH agency does not have this ability.
 2 = Yes, the MCH agency sometimes has this ability, but not on a consistent basis.
 3 = Yes, the MCH agency always has this ability.

FORM 19 HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR - REPORTING AND TRACKING FORM STATE: FM

DATA SOURCES	Does your state participate in the YRBS survey? (Select 1 - 3)*	Does your MCH program have direct access to the state YRBS database for analysis? (Select Y/N)
Youth Risk Behavior Survey (YRBS)	1	No
Other:		

HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #09C (Data Capacity) Overweight/Obesity (The Ability of the State to Determine the Percent of Children Who are Obese or Overweight)

Data Source	Does your state participate in this survey/data source? (Select 1 - 3)*	Does your MCH program have direct access to this electronic database for analysis? (Select Y/N)
Youth Risk Behavior Survey (YRBS)		No
Pediatric Nutrition Surveillance System (PedNSS)	1	No
WIC Program Data	1	No
Other:		

'Where:

- 1 No
- 2 = Yes, the State participates but the sample size is <u>not</u> large enough for valid statewide estimates for this age group.
- 3 = Yes, the State participates and the sample size is large enough for valid statewide estimates for this age group.

Notes:

1. HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #09B was formerly reported as Developmental Health Status Indicator #05.

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Indicator 09A

Field Name: BAD

Row Name: Annual linkage of infant birth and infant death certificates

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

FSM does not have any linked database.

Section Number: Indicator 09A Field Name: RecentMother

Row Name: Survey of recent mothers at least every two years (like PRAMS)

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Not applicable to FSM.

Section Number: Indicator 09B Field Name: YRBSS_09B Row Name: Youth Risk Behavior Survey (YRBS)

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

The YRBS has not been carried out in the FSM.

Section Number: Indicator 09C Field Name: PEDNSS_09C

Row Name: Pediatric Nutrition Surveillance System (PedNSS)

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note: FSM is not eligible.

Section Number: Indicator 09C

Field Name: WIC_09C

Row Name: WIC Program Data

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note: FSM is not eligible.

Section Number: Indicator 09A

Field Name: BAM

Row Name: Annual linkage of birth certificates and Medicaid Eligibility or Paid Claims Files

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

FSM does not have any linked database.

FORM 20 HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS #01-#05 MULTI-YEAR DATA STATE: FM

	SIAI	E. FIVI			
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 01A					
he percent of live births weighing less than 2,500 grams.					
			Annual In	dicator Data	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Indicator	7.3		11.4		5.
Numerator	r178		283		12
Denominator	2,423		,476		2,41
Is the Data Provisional or Final?					Provisional
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 01B					
he percent of live singleton births weighing less than 2,500 grams.			Annual In	dicator Data	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Indicator			11.4		4.
	163		274		
Denominator			,410		2,37
Is the Data Provisional or Final?			,410		Provisional
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 02A					
he percent of live births weighing less than 1,500 grams.					
			Annual In	dicator Data	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Indicator	0.4		0.5		0.
Numerator	r9		12		
Denominator	r2,423	2	,476		2,41
Is the Data Provisional or Final?	,				Provisional
Numerator	0.4		2002 0.5 12		2004
			,470		
is the Data Flovisional of Fillal f					1 TOVISIONAL
Denominator	2,423	•			Provisiona
ALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 02B percent of live singleton births weighing less than 1,500 grams.					
to percent of the singleton bilting weighting less that 1,000 grains.			Annual In	dicator Data	
	2000	2001	<u>Annuai in</u> 2002	dicator Data 2003	2004
Annual Indicator			0.2	2003	2004 0.
Annual indicator	0.5		U.2		0.
Numerator	. 8		4		1

Is the Data Provisional or Final?

Provisional

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			Annual Indicat	tor Data	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Indicator	13.0	6.9			12.5
Numerator	6	3			3
Denominator	46,089	43,172			24,096
Is the Data Provisional or Final?					Provisional
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03B					
e death rate per 100,000 for unintentional injuries among children a	ged 14 years and y	ounger due to motor			
	2000	2001	Annual Indicat	2003	2004
Annual Indicator	4.3	2.3	2002	2000	12.5
	2	1			
Denominator		43,172			24,09
Is the Data Provisional or Final?		10,172			
					Provisional
					Provisional
		ig youth aged 15 thro	ugh 24 years		Provisional
		ng youth aged 15 thro	-	tor Data	Provisional
		ng youth aged 15 thro	ugh 24 years. Annual Indicat	tor Data 2003	2004
ne death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor ve	phicle crashes amor	2001	Annual Indicat		2004
ne death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor ve	phicle crashes amor	2001	Annual Indicat		2004
ne death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor ve Annual Indicator Numerator	phicle crashes amor	2001 4.4 1	Annual Indicat		
ne death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor ve Annual Indicator Numerator	2000 4.0 1 24,799	2001 4.4 1	Annual Indicat		2004 16.
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 4.0 1 24,799	2001 4.4 1	Annual Indicat		2004 16.9 24,229
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 4.0 1 24,799	2001 4.4 1	Annual Indicat		2004 16.9 24,229
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 4.0 1 24,799	2001 4.4 1	Annual Indicat	2003	2004 16.:
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 4.0 1 24,799 ears and younger.	2001 4.4 1 22,761	Annual Indicat	2003	2004 16 24,22 Provisional
Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 04A The rate per 100,000 of all nonfatal injuries among children aged 14 y	2000 4.0 1 24,799	2001 4.4 1	Annual Indicat	2003	2004 16.

46,089

Denominator

Is the Data Provisional or Final?

43,172

24,096

Provisional

he rate per 100,000 of nonfatal injuries due to motor vehicle crashes	among children age	ed 14 years and your	•	D-4-	
	2000	2001	Annual Indicat	2003	2004
Annual Indicator	36.9	37.1			58.1
	17	16			14
Denominator		43,172			24,096
Is the Data Provisional or Final?		<u> </u>			Provisional
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 04C					
he rate per 100,000 of nonfatal injuries due to motor vehicle crashes	among youth aged	15 through 24 years.	Annual Indicat	or Data	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Indicator	80.6	96.7			82.5
Numerator	20	22	-		20
Denominator	24,799	22,761			24,229
Is the Data Provisional or Final?					Provisional
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A The rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of	case of chlamydia.	2001	Annual Indicat	or <u>Data</u> 2003	Provisional 2004
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A The rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 19 years w	case of chlamydia. 2000 19.7	2001	Annual Indicat		Provisional 2004 2.1
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A The rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 19 years w	2000 19.7	2001 0.9 6	Annual Indicat		2004 2.1
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A The rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 19 years w	2000 19.7 13 660	2001	Annual Indicat		Provisional 2004 2.1
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A The rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 19.7 13 660	2001 0.9 6	Annual Indicat 2002	2003	2004 2.1 6,338
IEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A he rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 19.7 13 660	2001 0.9 6	Annual Indicat	2003	2004 2.1 6,338
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A The rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 19.7 13 660 case of chlamydia.	2001 0.9 6 6,483	Annual Indicat 2002 Annual Indicat	2003	2004 2.13 6,338 Provisional
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A The rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05B The rate per 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported of Annual Indicator	2000 19.7 13 660 case of chlamydia.	2001 0.9 6 6,483	Annual Indicat 2002 Annual Indicat	2003	2004 2.: 6,338 Provisional

Is the Data Provisional or Final?

Provisional

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

None

HSI #06A - Demographics (Total Population) Infants and children aged 0 through 24 years enumerated by sub-populations of age group and race. (Demographics)

CATEGORY TOTAL POPULATION BY RACE	Total All Races	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Native Alaskan	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	More than one race reported	Other and Unknown
Infants 0 to 1	7,579					7,579		
Children 1 through 4	15,854					15,854		
Children 5 through 9	15,330					15,330		
Children 10 through 14	14,749					14,749		
Children 15 through 19	12,251					12,251		
Children 20 through 24	8,828					8,828		
Children 0 through 24	74,591	0	0	0	0	74,591	0	0

HSI #06B - Demographics (Total Population) Infants and children aged 0 through 24 years enumerated by sub-populations of age group and ethnicity. (Demographics)

CATEGORY TOTAL POPULATION BY HISPANIC ETHNICITY	Total NOT Hispanic or Latino	Total Hispanic or Latino	Ethnicity Not Reported
Infants 0 to 1			
Children 1 through 4			
Children 5 through 9			
Children 10 through 14			
Children 15 through 19			
Children 20 through 24			
Children 0 through 24	0	0	0

HSI #07A - Demographics (Total live births) Live births to women (of all ages) enumerated by maternal age and race. (Demographics)

CATEGORY TOTAL LIVE BIRTHS BY RACE	Total All Races	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Native Alaskan	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	More than one race reported	Other and Unknown
Women < 15	1					1		
Women 15 through 17	5					5		
Women 18 through 19	10					10		
Women 20 through 34	9					9		
Women 35 or older	6					6		
Women of all ages	31	0	0	0	0	31	0	0

HSI #07B - Demographics (Total live births) Live births to women (of all ages) enumerated by maternal age and ethnicity. (Demographics)

CATEGORY TOTAL LIVE BIRTHS BY HISPANIC ETHNICITY	Total NOT Hispanic or Latino	Total Hispanic or Latino	Ethnicity Not Reported
Women < 15	1		
Women 15 through 17	5		
Women 18 through 19	10		
Women 20 through 34	9		
Women 35 or older	6		
Women of all ages	31	0	0

HSI #08A - Demographics (Total deaths) De	aths of Infants and children aged 0 through	24 years enumerated by age subgroup and race. (Demographics)
For both parts A and B: Reporting Year: 2004	Is this data from a State Projection? No	Is this data final or provisional? Provisional

CATEGORY TOTAL DEATHS BY RACE	Total All Races	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Native Alaskan	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	More than one race reported	Other and Unknown
Infants 0 to 1	42					42		
Children 1 through 4	5					5		
Children 5 through 9	0					0		
Children 10 through 14	2					2		
Children 15 through 19	1					1		
Children 20 through 24	2					2		
Children 0 through 24	52	0	0	0	0	52	0	0

HSI #08B - Demographics (Total deaths) Deaths of Infants and children aged 0 through 24 years enumerated by age subgroup and ethnicity. (Demographics)

CATEGORY TOTAL DEATHS BY HISPANIC ETHNICITY	Total NOT Hispanic or Latino	Total Hispanic or Latino	Ethnicity Not Reported
Infants 0 to 1			
Children 1 through 4			
Children 5 through 9			
Children 10 through 14			
Children 15 through 19			
Children 20 through 24			
Children 0 through 24	0	0	0

HSI #09A - Demographics (Miscellaneous Data) Infants and children aged 0 through 19 years in miscellaneous situations or enrolled in various State programs enumerated by race. (Demographics)

Is this data final or provisional? Provisional

CATEGORY Miscellaneous Data BY RACE	Total All Races	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Native Alaskan	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	More than one race reported	Other and Unknown	Specific Reporting Year
All children 0 through 19	55,469				69.0	55,400.0			2004
Percent in household headed by single parent	1.0					1.0			2004
Percent in TANF (Grant) families	0								2004
Number enrolled in Medicaid	0								2004
Number enrolled in SCHIP	0								2004
Number living in foster home care	0								2004
Number enrolled in food stamp program	0								2004
Number enrolled in WIC	0								2004
Rate (per 100,000) of juvenile crime arrests	0								2004
Percentage of high school drop- outs (grade 9 through 12)	7.0					7.0			2004

HSI #09B - Demographics (Miscellaneous Data) Infants and children aged 0 through 19 years in miscellaneous situations or enrolled in various State programs enumerated by ethnicity. (Demographics)

CATEGORY Miscellaneous Data BY HISPANIC ETHNICITY	Total NOT Hispanic or Latino	Total Hispanic or Latino	Ethnicity Not Reported	Specific Reporting Year
All children 0 through 19				2004
Percent in household headed by single parent				2004
Percent in TANF (Grant) families				2004
Number enrolled in Medicaid				2004
Number enrolled in SCHIP				2004
Number living in foster home care				2004
Number enrolled in food stamp program				2004
Number enrolled in WIC				2004
Rate (per 100,000) of juvenile crime arrests				2004
Percentage of high school drop-outs (grade 9 through 12)				2004

HSI #10 - Demographics (Geographic Living Area) Geographic living area for all resident children aged 0 through 19 years old. (Demographics)

GEOGRAPHIC LIVING AREAS	TOTAL
Living in metropolitan areas	50
Living in urban areas	25
Living in rural areas	25_
Living in frontier areas	25
Total - all children 0 through 19	

Note:

The Total will be determined by adding reported numbers for urban, rural and frontier areas.

FORM 21 HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS DEMOGRAPHIC DATA STATE: FM

HSI #11 - Demographics (Poverty Levels) Percent of the State population at various levels of the federal poverty level. (Demographics)

POVERTY LEVELS	TOTAL
Total Population	<u>112,700.0</u>
Percent Below: 50% of poverty	25.0
100% of poverty	25.0
200% of poverty	25.0

FORM 21 HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS DEMOGRAPHIC DATA STATE: FM

HSI #12 - Demographics (Poverty Levels) Percent of the State population aged 0 through 19 at various levels of the federal poverty level. (Demographics)
Reporting Year: 2004 Is this data from a State Projection? No Is this data final or provisional? Provisional

POVERTY LEVELS	TOTAL
Children 0 through 19 years old	55,469.0
Percent Below: 50% of poverty	
100% of poverty	25.0
200% of poverty	

FORM NOTES FOR FORM 21

Caution should be used here at this is only an estimate taking poverty in the context of the FSM. It is presumed that if "poverty" is used here in the context of the US, the number should be much higher.

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

Section Number: Indicator 06B Field Name: S06_Ethnicity_Infants

Row Name: Infants 0 to 1 Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

No Hispanic or Latino during this period.

Section Number: Indicator 06B

Field Name: S06_Ethnicity_Children1to4

Row Name: children 1 through 4

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

No Hispanic or Latino during this period.

Section Number: Indicator 06B Field Name: S06_Ethnicity_Children5to9

Row Name: children 5 through 9

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

No Hispanic or Latino during this period.

Section Number: Indicator 06B

Field Name: S06_Ethnicity_Children10to14

Row Name: children 10 through 14

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

No Hispanic or Latino during this period.

Section Number: Indicator 06B

Field Name: S06_Ethnicity_Children15to19

Row Name: children 15 through 19

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

No Hispanic or Latino during this period.

Section Number: Indicator 06B

Field Name: S06_Ethnicity_Children20to24

Row Name: children 20 through 24

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

No Hispanic or Latino during this period.

Section Number: Indicator 07A Field Name: Race_Women15

Row Name: Women < 15 Column Name:

Year: 2006 Field Note:

The data presented is from the State of Chuuk alone. Pohnpei, Kosrae and Yap did not provide data on this indicator.

Section Number: Indicator 07A Field Name: Race_Women15to17 Row Name: Women 15 through 17

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

The data presented is from the State of Chuuk alone. Pohnpei, Kosrae and Yap did not provide data on this indicator.

Section Number: Indicator 07A Field Name: Race_Women18to19 Row Name: Women 18 through 19

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

The data presented is from the State of Chuuk alone. Pohnpei, Kosrae and Yap did not provide data on this indicator.

10. Section Number: Indicator 07A Field Name: Race_Women20to34

Row Name: Women 20 through 34 Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

The data presented is from the State of Chuuk alone. Pohnpei, Kosrae and Yap did not provide data on this indicator.

11. Section Number: Indicator 07A Field Name: Race_Women35 Row Name: Women 35 or older

Column Name: Year: 2006

Field Note:

The data presented is from the State of Chuuk alone. Pohnpei, Kosrae and Yap did not provide data on this indicator.

Section Number: Indicator 07B Field Name: Ethnicity_Women15 Row Name: Women < 15

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

The data presented is from the State of Chuuk alone. Pohnpei, Kosrae and Yap did not provide data on this indicator.

Section Number: Indicator 07B
 Field Name: Ethnicity_Women15to17

Row Name: Women 15 through 17 Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

The data presented is from the State of Chuuk alone. Pohnpei, Kosrae and Yap did not provide data on this indicator.

14. Section Number: Indicator 07B Field Name: Ethnicity_Women18to19 Row Name: Women 18 through 19

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

The data presented is from the State of Chuuk alone. Pohnpei, Kosrae and Yap did not provide data on this indicator.

15. Section Number: Indicator 07B Field Name: Ethnicity_Women20to34 Row Name: Women 20 through 34

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

The data presented is from the State of Chuuk alone. Pohnpei, Kosrae and Yap did not provide data on this indicator.

16. Section Number: Indicator 07B Field Name: Ethnicity_Women35 Row Name: Women 35 or older

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

The data presented is from the State of Chuuk alone. Pohnpei, Kosrae and Yap did not provide data on this indicator.

17. Section Number: Indicator 08B Field Name: S08_Ethnicity_Infants Row Name: Infants 0 to 1

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Not applicable to FSM.

 Section Number: Indicator 12 Field Name: S12_Children

Row Name: Children 0 through 19 years old

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Based on 2004 Census Projection

19. Section Number: Indicator 12 Field Name: S12_50percent

Row Name: Percent Below: 50% of poverty

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Caution should be used here at this is only an estimate taking poverty in the context of the FSM. It is presumed that if "poverty" is used here in the context of the US, the number should be much higher.

Section Number: Indicator 12
 Field Name: S12_100percent
 Row Name: 100% of poverty

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Caution should be used here at this is only an estimate taking poverty in the context of the FSM. It is presumed that if "poverty" is used here in the context of the US, the number should be much higher.

21. Section Number: Indicator 12 Field Name: S12_200percent Row Name: 200% of poverty

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Caution should be used here at this is only an estimate taking poverty in the context of the FSM. It is presumed that if "poverty" is used here in the context of the US, the number should be much higher.

NEW STATE PERFORMANCE AND OUTCOME MEASURE DETAIL SHEET STATE: FM

SP# 1

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: The percent of women receiving services in the MCH Programs who receive a Pap smear.

GOAL To assure that women receiving services through the MCH Program receive an annual Pap smear, appropriate referrals

for treatment, and follow up after referral

DEFINITION

Numerator:

Number of women receiving MCH program services who receive a Pap smear

Denominator:

Number of women receiving MCH program services

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

SIGNIFICANCE

Pap smear log book Family Planning log book Prenatal care log book Post Partum log book

The Pap Smear Screening Program for women in MCH programs (family planning clinics, prenatal care clinics, and post partum clinics) has been implemented to identify women at risk for cervical cancer and to assure early treatment. The early identification of women with positive Pap smears, referral for treatment and follow up services are important to decrease the indigence of the highest cause of cancer morbidity and mortality of women in the child bearing ages.

OBJECTIVE

2

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of pregnant women who have been screened for Hepatitis B surface antigen.

GOAL

To assure that all pregnant women receive Hepatitis B screening to identify those pregnant women who are HbAgS positive

in order to assure their infants receive HbIG when appropriate and immunizations.

DEFINITION Numerator:

Number of pregnant women screened for Hepatitis B surface antigen

Denominator:

Number of pregnant women Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES Prenatal care log book

SIGNIFICANCE

Hepatitis B viral (HBV) infection is a major cause of acute and chronic hepatitis, cirrhosis, and primary hepatocellular carcinoma. The likelihood of becoming chronically infected with HBV varies inversely with the age at which infection occurs./ HBV transmitted from HbAgS positive mothers to their newborns results in HBV carriage from up to 90% of infants. Between 25% and 50% of children infected before 5 years of age become carriers, whereas only 8% - 10% of acutely infected adults become carriers. Therefore prevention strategies for populations in which HBV infection is endemic are directed at vaccinating infants with hepatitis B vaccine, usually beginning at birth, to prevent both perinatal and childhood transmission

of infection.

OBJECTIVE 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of infants who are exclusively breast fed at 6 months of age.

GOAL To assure that all infants are exclusively breast fed during the first six months of life

DEFINITION

Numerator:

Number of infants who were exclusively breast fed through 6 months of age

Denominator:

Number of 6 month old infants in well baby clinics

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

MCH Well Baby Clinic data base

SIGNIFICANCE

All infants in the Federated States of Micronesia are discharged from the hospital with mothers exclusively breast feeding. The prevalence rate of infants between discharge and 6 months who continue to breast-feed has not been documented. However, for 1996, the MCH Public Health Nurses reported that after 4 months of age, only 60% of infants in the FSM are exclusively breast fed. Several studies have documented that the importance of breast feeding is not only assuring the most nutritious food for infants, but also confers some immunity against common infectious illnesses of the newborn. With improving the rates of infants who are exclusively breast fed, it is anticipated that the growth rates of infants will improve,

and the incidence and severity of common infectious illnesses among infants will be decreased.

OBJECTIVE

Percent of pregnant women who receive at least one nutrition education and counseling session (defined as covering the **PERFORMANCE MEASURE:**

following topics: diet recall, importance of three meals, balanced diets, exercise) as early as possible during their

GOAL

To assure that all pregnant women receive nutrition education and counseling during their pregnancy.

DEFINITION

Numerator:

Number of women who receive one nutrition education and counseling session.

Denominator:

Number of pregnant women who attend prenatal care clinic

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

Prenatal Clinic log book

SIGNIFICANCE

The infant mortality rates of the FSM is estimated to be 26 per 1,000 live births. An informal study in all four states during 1995 and 1996 showed that the causes of these infant deaths were due primarily to prematurity with low birth weights, malnutrition, meconium aspiration, and severe infections. Many of these conditions may be secondary to inadequate diets of the mothers during the pregnancy. Therefore, in order to improve birth outcomes among pregnant women in the Federated States of Micronesia, nutrition education in conjunction with increasing the number of women initiating prenatal care in the first trimesters will be priority activities for the prenatal care programs.

OBJECTIVE

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of caretakers of infants who receive education and counseling related to feeding and nutrition of infants.

GOAL To assure that caretakers of infants receive appropriate nutrition and feeding education and counseling.

DEFINITION Education and counseling is defined as: one session at 0-6 months of age, one session at 7-9 months of age, and one

session at 10-12 months of age.

ession at 10-12 months of age.

Numerator:

Number of caretakers who receive all three education and counseling sessions

Denominator:

Number of caretakers who attend well baby clinic with an infant up to one year of age.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

Well Baby Clinic log book

SIGNIFICANCE

Nutritional problems are still prevalent among many infants and children in the Federated States of Micronesia. Children continue to be admitted to the hospital with dehydration and malnutrition; children continue to be identified with the complications of Vitamin A deficiency; and children continue to fail to thrive. In order to make an impact on these preventable nutritional problems, nutrition education will be a major component of the well baby care services

OBJECTIVE

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of children enrolled in Early Childhood Education Program (Head Start) surveyed to determine the rate of decayed,

missing or filled teeth.

GOAL To improve the overall dental health status of children in the Federated States of Micronesia.

DEFINITION a) A survey of children in ECE Program was completed (YES/NO) b) A Preventive Dental Health Program was designed

and developed (YES/NO) c) Apreventive Dental Health Program was implemented (YES/NO)

Numerator:

N/A

Denominator:

N/A

Units: Yes Text: Text

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

Untreated Dental caries

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

Results of dental survey among ECE children. Data from Dental Division from all four states.

SIGNIFICANCE

The pervalence of diseased, missing and filled (DMF)teeth of children in the Federated States of Micronesia has not been recently determined. The last studies which determined the DMF teeth of children in the FSM were conducted in Chuuk in 1997 by Dr. T.H. Aye and in Kosrae in 1999 by Dr. M. Takagaki. However, it has been agreed by the majority of health care providers that serve children that the overall dental health status of this population is poor. Dental health is impoprtant because of the close association between dental health and nutrition status. A Preventive dental health program, using different strategies and combined educational services, will be developed in collaboration with the staff of the Dental

Division and the ECE Program.

OBJECTIVE

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of children with identified developmental problems who are admitted to the CSHCN Program.

GOAL To assure the early identification and referral of children with special health care needs.

DEFINITION

Numerator:

Number of children in the CSHCN Program identified with a developmental disability.

Denominator:

Number of children in the CSHCN Program

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE Clinician assessment of the child development

Increase to at least 80% the proportion of providers for primary care for children who routinely refer or screen infants and children for impairments of vision, hearing, speech, and language, and assess other developmental milestones as part of

well child care.

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

SIGNIFICANCE

CSN Registry and data system

The early identification and referral of children suspected of having a handicapping condition to the CSN program is important to assure that identification of service needs for the child and family - with early intervention services, the chances of improving the ultimate health status and outcomes for the child inreases. Therefore, focusing on the identification and

referral of children in the 0-3 year old age group will improve the status of children with handicapping conditions.

OBJECTIVE

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent pregnant women attending prenatal care who are screened for low hemoglobin.

GOAL To identify and treat pregnant women who are at nutritional risk early in the pregnancy.

DEFINITION

Numerator:

Number of pregnant womrn who receive a screening for low hemoglobin at their first prenatal care visit.

Denominator:

Number of women who attend the first prenatal care visit.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

Prenatal logbook

SIGNIFICANCE

Anemia during pregnancy is an indicator of a state of nutritional risk both for the pregnant woman and for the fetus. The long term consequences of low hemoglobin and anemia in a pregnant women is reflected in the development of iron deficiency states and possible iron deficiency anemia in the infant early in life. This nutritional risk state may have an impact on the growth and development of the infant.

OBJECTIVE

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent infants who received at least six bottles (1 bottle/30 days) of fluoride in the first year of life

GOAL To assure that infants start fluoride suplementation in the first year of life as a preventive measure.

DEFINITION

Numerator:

Number of one year old infants who attended the well baby clinic and received a minimum of six bottles of fluoride

supplements.

Denominator:

Number of one year old infants who attend the well baby clinic.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

Well Baby Cllinic data base.

SIGNIFICANCE

The early initiation of fluoride supplements is an important measure towards the prevention of dental disease in children. Fluoride supplementation is only one of a multi-pronged strategy of a comprehensive oral health program which should also include a multi-media campaign to increase the awarness of the community to the problems of dental disease among young children, an educational campaign to present the facts, a Headstart and school based oral hygiene program that focuses on educating the children and promoting dental health through brushing demonstrations, and finally a fluoride supplement

program.

OBJECTIVE

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of children with special needs who have a completed reevaluation by the CSN team within the last 12 months.

To assure that children with special needs have an updated evaluation that documents progress from treatment and GOAL

identifies new special needs.

DEFINITION

Numerator:

Number of children with special needs who have an evaluation within 12 months of the last evaluation.

Denominator:

Number of children with special needs identified in the Children with Special Needs Program data base.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

Children with Special Needs Program data base

SIGNIFICANCE

Because the health status and the special needs of children with handicapping conditions are constantly changing, there is

a need for a periodic re-evaluation to document to progress and gains that the child has achieved because of the treatment and rehabilitation activities and to document further special needs.

OBJECTIVE